P6516 Pharos Energy Blocks 125 & 126 Competent Persons Report

Prepared For:

Pharos Energy plc

By:

ERCE

Date:

July 2023





Approved by: Adam Law

19/07/2023

Date released to client:

Table of Contents

| 1. | Executive Summary | 8 |
|------|-------------------------------------|----|
| 2. | Introduction | 10 |
| 2.1. | Data Provided | 12 |
| 2.2. | Work Completed | 13 |
| 3. | Geology and Geophysics | 14 |
| 3.1. | Offset Wells | 16 |
| 4. | Estimation of Hydrocarbons in Place | 17 |
| 5. | Prospective Resources | 22 |
| 5.1. | Risking | 22 |
| Арр | endix 1: SPE PRMS Guidelines | 26 |
| Арр | endix 2: Nomenclature | 52 |



List of Tables

| Table 1.1: Pharos' licence interest | 8 |
|--|----|
| Table 1.2: Block 125 gross Prospective Resources as of 31 May 2023 | 9 |
| Table 4.1: Individual prospect details | 19 |
| Table 4.2: Volumetric inputs | 20 |
| Table 4.3: STOIIP estimates | 21 |
| Table 5.1: Recovery factors and Unrisked Prospective Resources | 22 |
| Table 5.2: Play and prospect risking with overall geological Chance of Success (COS) | 24 |
| Table 5.3: Block 125 gross Prospective Resources as of 31 May 2023 | 25 |

List of Figures

| Figure 2.1: Blocks 125 and 126 location map | 10 |
|--|-------|
| Figure 2.2: Location of the Phu Khanh basin | 11 |
| Figure 2.3: High graded prospects assessed by ERCE in Block 125 | 12 |
| Figure 2.4: Map of seismic and well data in and around Blocks 125 and 126 | 13 |
| Figure 3.1: Stratigraphic column of the Phu Khanh Basin | 15 |
| Figure 3.2: Seismic section showing GDE units | 16 |
| Figure 4.1: ERCE volumetric polygons a) low case and b) high case, with seabed depth | n map |
| | 17 |

19 July 2023

The Directors Pharos Energy plc Eastcastle House, 27/28 Eastcastle Street, London W1W 8DH United Kingdom

Dear Sirs,

Re: Competent Person's Report – Blocks 125 & 126

In accordance with your instructions, ERC Equipoise Ltd ("ERCE") has prepared a Competent Person's Report ("CPR") for the hydrocarbon Prospective Resources held by Pharos Energy plc ("Pharos") within Blocks 125 and 126, offshore Vietnam.

The effective date ("Effective Date") of this report is 31 May 2023. For the preparation of this CPR ERCE was provided with data and information by Pharos up to 20 April 2023. Pharos has provided written representations that no new data or information has been acquired between the data cut-off date and the publication date of this CPR that would materially affect the opinions expressed in this CPR.

ERCE has carried out this work in accordance with the June 2018 SPE/WPC/AAPG/ SPEE/SEG/SPWLA/EAGE Petroleum Resources Management System ("PRMS") as the standard for classification and reporting. A summary of the PRMS is found in Appendix 1: SPE PRMS Guidelines. The full text can be downloaded from:-

https://www.spe.org/en/industry/petroleum-resources-management-system-2018/

Nomenclature that may be used in this CPR is summarised in Appendix 2: Nomenclature.

Use of the Report

This CPR is produced solely for the benefit of and on the instructions of Pharos, and not for the benefit of any third party. Any third party to whom the client discloses or makes available this report shall not be entitled to rely on it or any part of it.

Pharos agrees to ensure that any publication or use of this report which makes reference to ERCE shall be published or quoted in its entirety and Pharos shall not publish or use extracts of this report or any edited or amended version of this report, without the prior written consent of ERCE. In the case that any part of this report is delivered in digital format, ERCE does not accept any responsibility for edits carried out by the client or any third party or otherwise after such material has been sent by ERCE to the client.

Disclaimer

ERCE has made every effort to ensure that the interpretations, conclusions and recommendations presented in this report are accurate and reliable in accordance with good industry practice. ERCE does not, however, guarantee the correctness of any such interpretations and shall not be liable or responsible for any loss, costs, damages or expenses incurred or sustained by anyone resulting from any interpretation or recommendation made by any of its officers, agents or employees.

ERCE has used standard petroleum evaluation techniques in the generation of this report. These techniques combine geophysical and geological knowledge with assessments of porosity and permeability distributions, fluid characteristics, production performance and reservoir pressure. There is uncertainty in the measurement and interpretation of basic data. ERCE has estimated the degree of this uncertainty and determined the range of petroleum initially in place and recoverable hydrocarbon volumes. In applying these procedures and tests, nothing came to the attention of ERCE that would suggest that information provided by Pharos was not complete and accurate. ERCE reserves the right to review all calculations referred to or included in this report and to revise the estimates in light of erroneous data supplied or information existing but not made available which becomes known subsequent to the preparation of this CPR.

The accuracy of any Prospective Resources is a function of the quality and quantity of available data and of engineering interpretation and judgment. While Prospective Resources presented herein are considered reasonable, the estimates should be accepted with the understanding that reservoir performance subsequent to the date of the estimate may justify revision, either upward or downward.

In the case of undiscovered resources (Prospective Resources) presented in this report, there is no certainty that any portion of the resources will be discovered. If discovered, there is no certainty that it will be commercially viable to produce any portion of the resources.

No site visits were undertaken in the preparation of this CPR.

Professional Qualifications

ERCE is an independent consultancy specialising in geoscience evaluation, engineering and economic assessment. ERCE will receive a fee for the preparation of this report in accordance with normal professional consulting practices. This fee is not dependent on the findings of this CPR and ERCE will receive no other benefit for the preparation of this CPR.

Neither ERCE nor the Competent Person who is responsible for authoring this CPR, nor any Directors of ERCE have at the date of this report any shareholding in Pharos. Consequently, ERCE, the Competent Person and the Directors of ERCE consider themselves to be independent of the Pharos, its directors and senior management.



ERCE has the relevant and appropriate qualifications, experience and technical knowledge to appraise professionally and independently the assets.

The work has been supervised by Dr Adam Law, Director of ERCE, a post-graduate in Geology, a Fellow of the Geological Society and a member of the Society of Petroleum Evaluation Engineers.

Yours faithfully

 \sub

Adam Law Director, ERCE

1. Executive Summary

ERC Equipoise Ltd (ERCE) has prepared a Competent Person's Report (CPR) for certain hydrocarbon Prospective Resources held by SOCO Exploration (Vietnam) Limited (SEVL), a wholly owned subsidiary of Pharos Energy plc (Pharos), within Blocks 125 and 126, in the Phu Khanh Basin, offshore Vietnam. A summary of Pharos' licence interest is given in Table 1.1. The licence is operated by SEVL.

Pharos has identified prospects and leads in 12 areas at various seismic horizons on the 2D and 3D seismic data. ERCE has independently assessed the Prospective Resources and geological Chance of Success (COS) for 23 prospective horizons located within the Block 125 3D seismic survey on the licence, which are grouped into five high-graded prospects: Prospect A Drape, Prospect A North, Lead A South, Prospect D and Prospect E1.

Table 1.1: Pharos' licence interest

| Country | Blocks | Working Interest | Licence Expiry | Operator | Partners |
|---------|-------------|---------------------|------------------|----------|----------------------------|
| Vietnam | 125 and 126 | 70.00% | 07 November 2025 | SEVL | SOVICO Holdings Company |

Prospective Resources are those quantities of petroleum estimated, as of a given date, to be potentially recoverable from undiscovered accumulations by application of future development projects. Prospective Resources have both an associated chance of geologic discovery and a chance of development. Prospective Resources are further categorized in accordance with the range of uncertainty associated with recoverable estimates, assuming discovery and development. For Prospective Resources the estimates are categorised as 1U, 2U and 3U.

Prospective Resources may be sub-classified as Prospects, Leads and Plays. A Prospect is a potential accumulation that is sufficiently well defined to represent a viable drilling target. A Lead is a potential accumulation that is currently poorly defined and requires more data acquisition and/or evaluation in order to be classified as a prospect. A Play is a prospective trend of potential prospects, but which requires more data acquisition and/or evaluation in order to define specific leads or prospects.

Table 1.2 summarises ERCE's estimates of unrisked Prospective Resources, gross to Pharos as of 31 May 2023, for the prospective intervals in each of the five prospects. The expected hydrocarbon phase for all prospects is oil.



| Table | 1.2: | Block | 125 | gross | Pros | pective | Resou | rces | as | of 3 | 11 | May | 2023 |
|-----------|------|-------|-----|-------|------|----------|--------|------|----|------|----|-----|------|
| 1 4 5 1 6 | | | | 9.000 | | 00000000 | 110000 | | ~~ | | | | |

Notes

- 1. These are company gross Prospective Resources that do not take into account the 70% working interest for Pharos/SEVL.
- 2. COS is the chance of geological success. This is an estimate of the probability that drilling the prospect would result in a discovery as defined under SPE PRMS.
- 3. These Prospective Resources are not risked for chance of development (COD) and there is no certainty that if they are discovered they will be developed.
- 4. ERCE has evaluated the Prospective Resources assuming the presence of oil in all prospects and assesses a 70% chance of oil.
- 5. The totals are arithmetically summed and are not representative of the expected total from the prospects as it implies a success case in all prospects.
- 6. Totals may not equate to the sum of individual entries due to rounding.

ERC

2. Introduction

ERC Equipoise Ltd (ERCE) has prepared a Competent Person's Report (CPR) for certain hydrocarbon Prospective Resources held by SOCO Exploration (Vietnam) Limited (SEVL), a subsidiary of Pharos Energy plc (Pharos), within Blocks 125 and 126, in the Phu Khanh Basin, offshore Vietnam (Figure 2.1).



Figure 2.1: Blocks 125 and 126 location map (Source: Pharos)

The Phu Khanh Basin is an unexplored sedimentary basin offshore Vietnam. To the south are the Cuu Long and Nam Con Basins which contain multiple oil fields that are producing at various stratigraphic intervals, and oil and gas discoveries have been made to the north (Figure 2.1, Figure 2.2).





Figure 2.2: Location of the Phu Khanh basin (Source: Pharos)

The area of Block 125 is approximately 5,213km² and Block 126 is approximately 5,474km². The blocks are located in water depths which increase eastwards from the coast, ranging from approximately 50m to 2,800m. Both of these blocks are at an exploration stage and they are covered by a combination of 2D and 3D seismic data and gravity and magnetic data. There are no wells drilled in either block, with the closest offset wells approximately 30km to the north and south.

Pharos has identified prospects and leads in 12 areas at various seismic horizons on the 2D and 3D seismic data. ERCE has independently assessed the Prospective Resources and geological Chance of Success (COS) of 23 prospective horizons located within the Block 125 3D seismic survey, which are grouped into five high-graded prospects: Prospect A Drape, Prospect A North, Lead A South, Prospect D and Prospect E1 (Figure 2.3).



Figure 2.3: High graded prospects assessed by ERCE in Block 125 (Low case polygons shown)

2.1. Data Provided

ERCE has relied upon data and information made available by Pharos. These data comprise details of Pharos' licence interests, seismic data, basic exploration and engineering data (including well logs, core, PVT and test data), technical reports, interpreted data, production data (from surrounding fields) and well cost data and development concept. ERCE has reviewed data made available through to 20 April 2023.

The available seismic and well data are shown in Figure 2.4; offset wells are marked and labelled, legacy 2D seismic data are shown in yellow, 7,107km of 2D seismic lines acquired by Pharos are shown in grey and the 909 km² of 3D seismic data acquired by Pharos is shown by the blue polygon.

No site visit was undertaken in the preparation of this report.



Figure 2.4: Map of seismic and well data in and around Blocks 125 and 126 (Source: Pharos)

2.2. Work Completed

ERCE has used standard petroleum evaluation techniques in the generation of this report. These techniques combine geophysical and geological knowledge with assessments of porosity and permeability distributions, fluid characteristics, production performance and reservoir pressure. There is uncertainty in the measurement and interpretation of basic data. ERCE has estimated the degree of this uncertainty and determined the range of petroleum initially in place. Estimates of recovery factors were prepared based on consideration of reservoir engineering calculations and the performances of analogue fields.

3. Geology and Geophysics

The Phu Khanh Basin formed during two phases of continental rifting which initiated in the Eocene and continued into the Middle Miocene. Post-rift subsidence commenced in the Upper Miocene at the end of the rifting phases. A stratigraphic column with the rifting phases marked is shown in Figure 3.1. ERCE has considered six stratigraphic units for the gross depositional environment (GDE) maps in Blocks 125 and 126, these are shown on the seismic line in Figure 3.2.

GDE 1: Pre-rift 1, Basement to Eocene. Continental rifting commenced in the Eocene and formed a series of graben and half grabens with sediment deposited unconformably on the Pre-Tertiary Basement. The Eocene pinches out against basement highs towards the north and south west of the 3D area in Block 125. During this time there was deposition of alluvial near-source sediment from the basin highs, which then evolved to fluvial sediments. This rift phase contains high net-to-gross (NTG) amalgamated channels and lacustrine deposits.

GDE 2: Rifting Phase 1, Late Eocene to Early Oligocene. This phase is dominated by alluvial, fluvial and lacustrine systems. There are well developed, high NTG basin margin and alluvial facies interpreted to the west and east of the blocks, but overall there is a decrease in NTG in the system in this phase and possible presence of coals. Channel morphology is interpreted to be sinuous to meandering which reflects the lower slope relief during this time.

GDE 3: Early to Late Oligocene. This phase represents the onset of marine incursion and overall is a transgressive regime. There is a dominance of marine conditions, reworking of fluvial deposits (with deltaic terminology), with extensive shoreface and shelf facies. There is a reduction in NTG in the system compared to GDE 2.

GDE 4: Late Oligocene to Early Miocene. This phase represents the significant onset of transgression and backstepping of the system. The system is wave dominated with limited fluvial input. A higher NTG is interpreted in the shoreface sections but overall a low NTG is associated with transgression. In the southern part of Block 126 carbonate pinnacle reefs are interpreted.

GDE 5: Middle Miocene, below the Mid Miocene Unconformity (MMU). This phase represents significant slope/shelf break incisions and turbidite ponding. The feeder systems come from the west and north into the basin, with possible bifurcations in the system.

GDE 6A/6B: Late Miocene. This phase consists of shallow marine facies prograding to the west as part of the highstand system tract (HST), with underlying turbidites as part of the lowstand system tract (LST). Some ponding of the older basin floor tubidites against the Mid Miocene high, with younger turbidites draping over it with possible deposition from the north.

P6516 Vietnam Blocks 125 & 126 CPR



| , | AGE | LITHOLOGY W E | DESCRIPTION | ENVIRONMENT | |
|---------|---------------|---|---|--|-----------------------------|
| PLEIS | STOCENE | | Sandstone interbeds with shale, weaky consolidated. | | |
| ENE | Upper | | Fina to marse sandstone interheds | Outer shelf | |
| PLIOCI | Lower | | with shale and minor thin beds of limestone. | Deep marine Inner shelf Slope | |
| | Upper | | Shale interbeds with thin beds of sandstone and occasionally limestone. Limestone is mudstone or wackestone. | Outer shelf Nearshore Deep marine Inner shelf Slope | Top Bift 2 |
| MIOCENE | Middle | | Shale interbeds with sandstone. Carbonate is platform, reef, build up. Volcanic tuff. | Coastal plain Outer shelf Near shore Deep marine | – 10p Kiit 2 |
| | Lower | * * | | Inner shelf Slope | |
| GOCENE | Upper | | Shale interbeds with sandstone and minor coal, conglomerate and volcanic tuff. | Lacustrine Near shore Swamp Swamp Fluvial | |
| OLI | Lower | | | Delta plain | Top Rift 1 |
| EO | CENE? | the second se | Shale interbeds with sandstone and minor coal and volcanic tuff. Conglomerate at the bottom. | Continent, fluvial/ alluvial, delta plain | Top Basement |
| | Pre - Tetiary | +++ ++++ + | Basement rocks include granite, metamorphic, granodiorite and massive carbonate. | LEGEND Shale Conglor Sandstone Carbon | nerate Volcanic ate Coal |

Figure 3.1: Stratigraphic column of the Phu Khanh Basin

(Source: Pharos)



Figure 3.2: Seismic section showing GDE units

3.1. Offset Wells

There are no wells drilled in Blocks 125 and 126. ERCE has assessed the results of four offset wells as part of a dry hole analysis and has also petrophysically evaluated three offset wells. This is to assist with the estimation of volumetric input parameters and to understand the potential prospectivity in the shallow water area. The wells evaluated are Wells 124-CMT-1X, 124-HT-1X and 123-TH-1X, with a dry hole analysis of Well 127-NT-1X; these wells are labelled in Figure 2.4.

Out of these four wells, only Well 124-CMT-1X was a technical success, proving a working petroleum system as it discovered oil in the Miocene carbonates and a thin overlying sandstone.

4. Estimation of Hydrocarbons in Place

For each prospect in Blocks 125 and 126, ERCE has probabilistically estimated a Low, Best and High Case undiscovered stock tank oil initially in place (STOIIP) using either a gross rock volume (GRV) or area-net approach. The area-net approach has been used for amplitude supported prospects that are either stratigraphic traps or combination structural-stratigraphic traps, or to capture the stacked reservoirs in a structural trap. When the area-net method has been used, a shape factor has been applied for geometric correction, which has been determined using a function relating reservoir thickness and column height. A summary of each prospect is given in Table 4.1, including reservoir age, interpreted environment of deposition, stacked or single reservoir package and trap type, and whether there is any reservoir amplitude support.

Low case refers to P90 estimate where there is a 90% probability of exceeding this estimate. Mid case refers to P50 estimate where there is a 50% probability of exceeding this estimate. High case refers to P10 estimate where there is a 10% probability of exceeding this estimate.

Depending on the prospective interval, ERCE has estimated map-based Low and High case GRVs or areas. These estimates consider uncertainties in closure, 3D and/or 2D seismic data coverage, depth grids and erosional edge. For each interval, a single closure is outlined to represent the Low Case and the spill depth closure is used to outline the High Case. The Low and High Case polygons for all prospects are shown in Figure 4.1.



Figure 4.1: ERCE volumetric polygons a) low case and b) high case, with seabed depth map (Contour interval: 100m. Seabed depth map in m, PSDM. Wildcat-A is the Pharos preliminary well location)

ERCE has carried out an interpretation of gross depositional environment for each stratigraphic sequence (see Section 3) and used this to support our net to gross (NTG) and net thickness estimates for each prospect. These estimates are varied according to interpreted

depositional environment, number of sand packages and isopach maps. ERCE has referred to various net thickness analogue wells and fields in the Phu Khanh, Nam Con Son and Cuu Long Basins for the prospects calculated using the area-net method.

ERCE has used porosity analogues from clastic reservoirs the Phu Khanh offset wells, Cuu Long and Nam Con Son Basins. Where data allows, these are benchmarked against global trends, which relate porosity with vertical depth below mud line.

ERCE has used a single range of hydrocarbon saturation of 40% - 55% - 70% for all intervals based on analogues from the region.

ERCE has estimated a range of oil formation volume factor based on analogues from the region, correlated with depth below mud line and assumed pressure and temperature.

The inputs parameter ranges for the volumetric estimates of STOIIP are shown in Table 4.2.

The probabilistic estimates of STOIIP are shown in Table 4.3.

| Table | 4.1: | Individual | prospect | details |
|-------|------|------------|----------|---------|
| IUNIO | | manuada | proopcor | actano |

| Prospect | Prospect Name | Horizon | Amplitude Support | Age Reservoir / Depositional Environment | | GDE Map | Sand Package | Trap |
|------------------|-----------------|---------|----------------------|--|--|---------|-----------------|---------------|
| | UM 06 | Emerald | | Upper Miocene | Slope/basin fans | 6 | Single | Structural |
| Prospect A | UM 05b* | Blonde | Yes | Upper Miocene | Slope/basin fans | 6 | Single | Combination |
| Diape | UM 05a* | Gold | Yes | Upper Miocene | Slope/basin fans | 6 | Single | Combination |
| | MMU | Blue | | Middle Miocene | Transgressive system | 5 | Stacked | Structural |
| | Тор С | Aqua | | Upper Oligocene | DF | 3 | Single | Structural |
| | Top D | Brown | | Mid Oligocene | DF to LDP, less coals | 3 | Stacked | Structural |
| Prospect A North | Тор Е | Magenta | | Lower Oligocene | DF to LDP, mixed system with coals | 2 | Stacked | Structural |
| - | Lower E | Navy | | Lower Oligocene | Fluvial plain to lake plain | 2 | Stacked | Structural |
| | Top F/G | Maroon | | Eocene | Alluvial – fluvial | 1 | Stacked | Structural |
| | Basement | Red | | Pre Tertiary | Basement | N/A | N/A | Structural |
| | UM06 | Emerald | | Upper Miocene | Slope/basin fans | 6 | Stacked | Structural |
| | MMU | Blue | | Middle Miocene | Distal basin fans | 5 | Stacked | Structural |
| | Тор С | Aqua | | Upper Oligocene | DF | 3 | Single | Structural |
| Lood A Couth | Top D | Brown | | Mid Oligocene | DF to LDP, less coals | 3 | Stacked | Structural |
| Lead A South | Top E | Magenta | | Lower Oligocene | DF to LDP, mixed system with coals | 2 | Stacked | Structural |
| | Lower E | Navy | | Lower Oligocene | Fluvial plain to lake plain | 2 | Stacked | Structural |
| | Top F/G | Maroon | | Eocene | Alluvial - fluvial | 1 | Stacked | Structural |
| | Basement | Red | | Pre Tertiary | Basement | N/A | N/A | Structural |
| Prospect D | Middle Miocene* | Blue | Yes | Middle Miocene | Slope/basin fans | 6 | Stacked | Stratigraphic |
| | UM15* | Lemon | Yes | Upper Miocene | Possible LDP | 6 | Single | Stratigraphic |
| Prospect E1 | B1.2 | Green | | Lower Miocene | SF, shelf. Could be stratified shelfal carbonate | 5 | Single | Structural |
| | B1.1* | Bronze | | Lower Miocene | LDP/DF | 5 | Stacked | Structural |
| | Top C | Aqua | | Upper Oligocene | LDP/DF | 3 | Single | Structural |

Notes

1. Prospects in bold and with an asterisk (*) after their name, are evaluated using an area-net method, rest use a GRV method.

2. Depositional environment key: DF = delta front, LDP = lower delta plain, SF = shoreface

| Prospect | Prospect | Horizon | (| GRV (MM Area (k | lm ³) or (m²) | N | TG (%) Net (m) | or | Sh | ape Fa (frac) | actor | | Porosity (%) | / | | Sh (%) | | (| Bo stb/rb |) |
|---------------------|--------------------|---------|--------|---------------------------|---|------|--------------------------|------|------|------------------|-------|------|-----------------|------|-----|-----------|------------|------|--------------|------|
| | iname | | P90 | P50 | P1 or P10 | P90 | P50 | P10 | P90 | P50 | P10 | P90 | P50 | P10 | P90 | P50 | P10 | P90 | P50 | P10 |
| Durant | UM 06 | Emerald | 35 | 112 | 897 | 20% | 40% | 60% | | | | 14% | 20% | 25% | 40% | 55% | 70% | 1.59 | 1.39 | 1.22 |
| Prospect A | UM 05b* | Blonde | 5 | 10 | 21 | 15 | 27 | 50 | 0.80 | 0.85 | 0.90 | 14% | 20% | 25% | 40% | 55% | 70% | 1.59 | 1.41 | 1.22 |
| Diape | UM 05a* | Gold | 20 | 37 | 66 | 20 | 28 | 40 | 0.80 | 0.85 | 0.90 | 14% | 20% | 25% | 40% | 55% | 70% | 1.59 | 1.41 | 1.22 |
| | MMU | Blue | 264 | 1,121 | 4,751 | 10% | 25% | 40% | | | | 12% | 16% | 19% | 40% | 55% | 70% | 1.59 | 1.41 | 1.22 |
| | Тор С | Aqua | 43 | 126 | 363 | 20% | 40% | 60% | | | | 12% | 16% | 19% | 40% | 55% | 70% | 1.59 | 1.41 | 1.22 |
| | Top D | Brown | 730 | 2,293 | 7,198 | 20% | 40% | 60% | | | | 13% | 16% | 19% | 40% | 55% | 70% | 1.59 | 1.41 | 1.22 |
| Prospect A North | Top E | Magenta | 1,794 | 6,773 | 25,563 | 20% | 40% | 60% | | | | 13% | 17% | 20% | 40% | 55% | 70% | 1.59 | 1.41 | 1.22 |
| | Lower E | Navy | 1,401 | 5,210 | 19,371 | 30% | 45% | 60% | | | | 12% | 15% | 18% | 40% | 55% | 70% | 1.59 | 1.41 | 1.23 |
| | Top F/G | Maroon | 845 | 7,885 | 73,587 | 40% | 55% | 70% | | | | 12% | 15% | 18% | 40% | 55% | 70% | 1.59 | 1.41 | 1.23 |
| | Basement | Red | 10,439 | 35,989 | 124,069 | 100% | 100% | 100% | | | | 0.7% | 1.4% | 3.0% | 40% | 55% | 70% | 1.59 | 1.41 | 1.23 |
| | UM06 | Emerald | 835 | 2,918 | 10,197 | 20% | 40% | 60% | | | | 16% | 19% | 22% | 40% | 55% | 70% | 1.59 | 1.39 | 1.22 |
| | MMU | Blue | 367 | 1,763 | 8,471 | 10% | 30% | 50% | | | | 13% | 16% | 19% | 40% | 55% | 70% | 1.59 | 1.41 | 1.22 |
| | Тор С | Aqua | 641 | 2,440 | 9,294 | 20% | 40% | 60% | | | | 15% | 19% | 22% | 40% | 55% | 70% | 1.59 | 1.39 | 1.22 |
| Lead A | Top D | Brown | 89 | 377 | 1,605 | 20% | 40% | 60% | | | | 14% | 18% | 21% | 40% | 55% | 70% | 1.59 | 1.39 | 1.22 |
| South | Top E | Magenta | 1,114 | 4,120 | 15,234 | 20% | 40% | 60% | | | | 14% | 18% | 21% | 40% | 55% | 70% | 1.59 | 1.41 | 1.22 |
| | Lower E | Navy | 567 | 2,578 | 11,723 | 30% | 45% | 60% | | | | 11% | 15% | 18% | 40% | 55% | 70% | 1.59 | 1.41 | 1.23 |
| | Top F/G | Maroon | 10,161 | 41,718 | 171,270 | 40% | 55% | 70% | | | | 15% | 18% | 21% | 40% | 55% | 70% | 1.59 | 1.39 | 1.22 |
| | Basement | Red | 28,273 | 78,558 | 218,280 | 100% | 100% | 100% | | | | 0.7% | 1.4% | 3.0% | 40% | 55% | 70% | 1.59 | 1.41 | 1.23 |
| Prospect D | Middle Miocene* | Blue | 18 | 55 | 163 | 50 | 141 | 400 | 0.75 | 0.83 | 0.90 | 11% | 17% | 23% | 40% | 55% | 70% | 1.59 | 1.39 | 1.20 |
| | UM15* | Lemon | 6 | 15 | 39 | 5 | 10 | 20 | 0.80 | 0.85 | 0.90 | 20% | 23% | 26% | 40% | 55% | 70% | 1.56 | 1.37 | 1.19 |
| | B1.2 | Green | 35 | 138 | 541 | 10% | 30% | 50% | | | | 16% | 19% | 22% | 40% | 55% | 70% | 1.59 | 1.39 | 1.20 |
| Prospect E1 | B1.1* | Bronze | 2 | 6 | 20 | 40 | 126 | 400 | 0.80 | 0.85 | 0.90 | 15% | 18% | 21% | 40% | 55% | 70% | 1.59 | 1.39 | 1.20 |
| | Top C | Aqua | 303 | 762 | 1,912 | 20% | 40% | 60% | | | | 11% | 15% | 18% | 40% | 55% | 70% | 1.59 | 1.39 | 1.22 |

Table 4.2: Volumetric inputs

Notes

1. Prospects in bold and with an asterisk (*) after their name, are evaluated using an area-net method, the rest use a GRV method.

2. High case GRV in blue for Prospect A Drape UM 06 represents a P1 input, the rest are a P10 input.

| Prospect | Prospect Name | Gross Undiscovered STOIIP (MMstb) | | | | | | | |
|------------------|----------------|-----------------------------------|--------|---------|--------|--|--|--|--|
| | · | P90 | P50 | P10 | Mean | | | | |
| | UM 06 | 5 | 19 | 73 | 33 | | | | |
| Prospect A Drape | UM 05b | 39 | 108 | 307 | 150 | | | | |
| | UM 05a | 178 | 403 | 911 | 492 | | | | |
| | MMU | 17 | 89 | 450 | 201 | | | | |
| | Top C | 5 | 17 | 59 | 27 | | | | |
| | Top D | 84 | 321 | 1,193 | 543 | | | | |
| Prospect A North | Тор Е | 221 | 962 | 4,414 | 1,914 | | | | |
| | Lower E | 196 | 818 | 3,363 | 1,488 | | | | |
| | Top F/G | 147 | 1,504 | 15,684 | 6,983 | | | | |
| | Basement | 279 | 1,226 | 5,404 | 2,359 | | | | |
| | UM06 | 119 | 489 | 1,961 | 874 | | | | |
| | MMU | 26 | 169 | 962 | 418 | | | | |
| | Тор С | 87 | 398 | 1,746 | 757 | | | | |
| Lood A South | Top D | 11 | 59 | 290 | 126 | | | | |
| Leau A South | Top E | 144 | 635 | 2,760 | 1,198 | | | | |
| | Lower E | 76 | 381 | 1,947 | 837 | | | | |
| | Top F/G | 2,146 | 9,759 | 42,811 | 18,863 | | | | |
| | Basement | 726 | 2,687 | 9,945 | 4,436 | | | | |
| Prospect D | Middle Miocene | 528 | 2,568 | 12,761 | 5,505 | | | | |
| | UM15 | 22 | 73 | 253 | 118 | | | | |
| Broopoot E1 | B1.2 | 3 | 16 | 74 | 33 | | | | |
| Prospect E I | B1.1 | 51 | 277 | 1,457 | 662 | | | | |
| | Тор С | 30 | 98 | 299 | 143 | | | | |
| Determinis | tic Total | 5,138 | 23,076 | 109,124 | 48,159 | | | | |

Table 4.3: STOIIP estimates

Notes

- 1. The totals are arithmetically summed and are not representative of the expected total from the prospects as it implies a success case in all prospects.
- 2. Totals may not equate to the sum of individual entries due to rounding.

5. Prospective Resources

ERCE has estimated a range of recovery factors for each prospective interval with consideration given to the interpreted depositional environment, reservoir quality, expectation of aquifer support and analogue fields. The recovery factor ranges estimated for each prospect are shown in Table 5.1. These recovery factors are applied probabilistically to the estimates of undiscovered STOIIP to estimate the gross unrisked Prospective Resources for each prospective interval within each of the high-grade prospects (Table 5.1).

| Prospect | Prospect Name | Re | covery Fa | ctor | Gross Unrisked Prospective Resources (MMstb) | | | | | |
|------------------|--------------------|-----|-----------|------|---|-------|--------|--------|--|--|
| | · | P90 | P50 | P10 | 1U | 2U | 3U | Mean | | |
| | UM 06 | 10% | 20% | 40% | 1 | 4 | 17 | 8 | | |
| Prospect A Drape | UM 05b | 10% | 20% | 40% | 6 | 22 | 76 | 35 | | |
| | UM 05 ^a | 10% | 20% | 40% | 28 | 81 | 227 | 112 | | |
| | MMU | 5% | 12% | 30% | 2 | 11 | 68 | 30 | | |
| | Тор С | 15% | 24% | 40% | 1 | 4 | 16 | 7 | | |
| | Top D | 15% | 24% | 40% | 19 | 79 | 317 | 144 | | |
| Prospect A North | Top E | 15% | 24% | 40% | 49 | 239 | 1,147 | 506 | | |
| | Lower E | 15% | 26% | 45% | 46 | 211 | 941 | 418 | | |
| | Top F/G | 15% | 26% | 45% | 36 | 387 | 4,243 | 1,992 | | |
| | Basement | 10% | 20% | 40% | 48 | 239 | 1,261 | 531 | | |
| | UM06 | 10% | 20% | 40% | 20 | 99 | 453 | 198 | | |
| | MMU | 5% | 12% | 30% | 3 | 20 | 147 | 66 | | |
| | Тор С | 15% | 24% | 40% | 20 | 97 | 465 | 198 | | |
| Lood A South | Top D | 15% | 24% | 40% | 3 | 15 | 76 | 33 | | |
| Leau A South | Top E | 15% | 24% | 40% | 32 | 155 | 725 | 314 | | |
| | Lower E | 15% | 26% | 45% | 18 | 101 | 546 | 236 | | |
| | Top F/G | 20% | 30% | 45% | 608 | 2,883 | 13,279 | 5,919 | | |
| | Basement | 10% | 20% | 40% | 125 | 527 | 2,334 | 1,028 | | |
| Prospect D | Middle Miocene | 10% | 20% | 40% | 89 | 516 | 2,831 | 1,273 | | |
| | UM15 | 10% | 20% | 40% | 3 | 14 | 60 | 27 | | |
| Droopoot E1 | B1.2 | 5% | 12% | 30% | 0 | 2 | 12 | 5 | | |
| FIUSPECI ET | B1.1 | 20% | 30% | 45% | 14 | 83 | 462 | 208 | | |
| | Тор С | 15% | 24% | 40% | 7 | 24 | 81 | 38 | | |
| Determin | nistic Total | | | | 1,178 | 5,812 | 29,785 | 13,328 | | |

| Table 5.1: Recovery | y factors and | Unrisked P | rospective | Resources |
|---------------------|---------------|------------|------------|-----------|

Notes

- 3. These are company gross Prospective Resources that do not take into account the 70% working interest for Pharos/SEVL.
- 4. The totals are arithmetically summed and are not representative of the expected total from the prospects as it implies a success case in all prospects.
- 5. Totals may not equate to the sum of individual entries due to rounding.

5.1. Risking

ERCE has considered a Play and Prospect risk system to estimate the overall geological Chance of Success (COS) for each prospect. A Play Risk is incorporated as all of the prospects are located in the undrilled, deeper part of the basin. ERCE does not discriminate between oil and gas charge within the COS, but estimates the oil phase likelihood to be 70% for all prospects.

The source play risk considers that there is a good chance of regional source rock presence and maturity based on regional models and offset wells. For the play reservoir risk, the regional presence of basement rock is proven by offset wells; and the regional clastic reservoir presence risk increases towards the younger prospects as the prospect intervals move towards a more transgressive system. The seal play risk varies, but generally has an inverse relationship with presence of regional reservoir rock and captures that no regional seal intervals have been defined.

Prospect level risks vary and are based on depositional environment interpretation and seismic interpretation.

A breakdown of the play and prospect risk elements and the resulting play and prospect risks, and overall COS, is shown in Table 5.2.

The COS is then applied deterministically to the Mean Unrisked Prospective Resources to give a Mean Risked Prospective Resources for each prospect. This is shown in Table 5.3.

| | | | Play Risk | | | Prospect Risk | | | | | | |
|------------------|----------------|---------|-----------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|---------------|-----------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------|-----|
| | | | Source | Reservoir | Seal | | Source | Reservoir | Trap | Seal | | |
| Prospect | Prospect Name | Horizon | Presence and Maturity | Regional Presence | Regional Presence | Play COS | Migration | Local Presence and Efficacy | Definition and Efficacy | Local Presence and Efficacy | Prospect COS | COS |
| | UM 06 | Emerald | 90% | 70% | 90% | 57% | 70% | 70% | 100% | 80% | 39% | 22% |
| Prospect A Drape | UM 05b | Blonde | 90% | 70% | 90% | 57% | 70% | 80% | 60% | 80% | 27% | 15% |
| | UM 05a | Gold | 90% | 70% | 90% | 57% | 70% | 80% | 60% | 70% | 24% | 13% |
| | MMU | Blue | 90% | 70% | 90% | 57% | 80% | 50% | 80% | 70% | 22% | 13% |
| | Тор С | Aqua | 90% | 70% | 90% | 57% | 80% | 60% | 80% | 70% | 27% | 15% |
| | Top D | Brown | 90% | 80% | 80% | 58% | 90% | 70% | 80% | 60% | 30% | 17% |
| Prospect A North | Top E | Magenta | 90% | 90% | 70% | 57% | 90% | 60% | 80% | 60% | 26% | 15% |
| | Lower E | Navy | 90% | 90% | 70% | 57% | 90% | 60% | 80% | 60% | 26% | 15% |
| | Top F/G | Maroon | 90% | 90% | 70% | 57% | 60% | 60% | 80% | 60% | 17% | 10% |
| | Basement | Red | 90% | 100% | 80% | 72% | 70% | 60% | 90% | 80% | 30% | 22% |
| | UM06 | Emerald | 90% | 70% | 90% | 57% | 70% | 60% | 70% | 80% | 24% | 13% |
| | MMU | Blue | 90% | 70% | 90% | 57% | 80% | 70% | 60% | 70% | 24% | 13% |
| | Тор С | Aqua | 90% | 70% | 90% | 57% | 80% | 50% | 60% | 60% | 14% | 8% |
| Load A South | Top D | Brown | 90% | 80% | 80% | 58% | 90% | 60% | 60% | 60% | 19% | 11% |
| Leau A South | Top E | Magenta | 90% | 90% | 70% | 57% | 90% | 50% | 60% | 60% | 16% | 9% |
| | Lower E | Navy | 90% | 90% | 70% | 57% | 90% | 50% | 60% | 60% | 16% | 9% |
| | Top F/G | Maroon | 90% | 90% | 70% | 57% | 70% | 50% | 90% | 60% | 19% | 11% |
| | Basement | Red | 90% | 100% | 80% | 72% | 70% | 60% | 90% | 80% | 30% | 22% |
| Prospect D | Middle Miocene | Blue | 90% | 70% | 90% | 57% | 80% | 80% | 60% | 80% | 31% | 17% |
| | UM15 | Lemon | 90% | 70% | 90% | 57% | 50% | 80% | 50% | 70% | 14% | 8% |
| Droopoot E1 | B1.2 | Green | 90% | 70% | 90% | 57% | 80% | 60% | 80% | 70% | 27% | 15% |
| Prospect E1 | B1.1 | Bronze | 90% | 70% | 90% | 57% | 80% | 70% | 80% | 60% | 27% | 15% |
| | Top C | Aqua | 90% | 70% | 90% | 57% | 80% | 70% | 70% | 60% | 24% | 13% |

Table 5.2: Play and prospect risking with overall geological Chance of Success (COS)

| | | Gross U | Gross Unrisked Prospective Resources (MMstb) | | | | Pros. Res | |
|------------------|---------------------|---------|---|--------|--------|-----|----------------|--|
| Prospect Name | Horizon | 1U | 20 | 3U | Mean | COS | Risked Mean | |
| | UM 06 | 1 | 4 | 17 | 8 | 22% | 1.7 | |
| Prospect A Drape | UM 05b | 6 | 22 | 76 | 35 | 15% | 5.3 | |
| | UM 05a | 28 | 81 | 227 | 112 | 13% | 15.0 | |
| | MMU | 2 | 11 | 68 | 30 | 13% | 3.9 | |
| | Тор С | 1 | 4 | 16 | 7 | 15% | 1.1 | |
| | Top D | 19 | 79 | 317 | 144 | 17% | 25.0 | |
| Prospect A North | Top E | 49 | 239 | 1,147 | 506 | 15% | 74.4 | |
| | Lower E | 46 | 211 | 941 | 418 | 15% | 61.5 | |
| | Top F/G | 36 | 387 | 4,243 | 1,992 | 10% | 195.2 | |
| | Basement | 48 | 239 | 1,261 | 531 | 22% | 115.7 | |
| | UM06 | 20 | 99 | 453 | 198 | 13% | 26.5 | |
| | MMU | 3 | 20 | 147 | 66 | 13% | 8.9 | |
| | Тор С | 20 | 97 | 465 | 198 | 8% | 16.2 | |
| | Top D | 3 | 15 | 76 | 33 | 11% | 3.7 | |
| Lead A South | Top E | 32 | 155 | 725 | 314 | 9% | 28.9 | |
| | Lower E | 18 | 101 | 546 | 236 | 9% | 21.7 | |
| | Top F/G | 608 | 2,883 | 13,279 | 5,919 | 11% | 634.3 | |
| | Basement | 125 | 527 | 2,334 | 1,028 | 22% | 223.9 | |
| Prospect D | Middle Miocene | 89 | 516 | 2,831 | 1,273 | 17% | 221.8 | |
| | UM15 | 3 | 14 | 60 | 27 | 8% | 2.1 | |
| Descended E4 | B1.2 | 0 | 2 | 12 | 5 | 15% | 0.8 | |
| Prospect E1 | B1.1 | 14 | 83 | 462 | 208 | 15% | 31.7 | |
| | Тор С | 7 | 24 | 81 | 38 | 13% | 5.0 | |
| | Deterministic Total | 1,178 | 5,812 | 29,785 | 13,328 | | 1,724 | |

Notes

- 1. These are company gross Prospective Resources that do not take into account the 70% working interest for Pharos/SEVL.
- 2. COS is the chance of geological success. This is an estimate of the probability that drilling the prospect would result in a discovery as defined under SPE PRMS.
- 3. These Prospective Resources are not risked for chance of development (COD) and there is no certainty that if they are discovered they will be developed.
- 4. ERCE has evaluated the Prospective Resources assuming the presence of oil in all prospects and assesses a 70% chance of oil.
- 5. The totals are arithmetically summed and are not representative of the expected total from the prospects as it implies a success case in all prospects.
- 6. Totals may not equate to the sum of individual entries due to rounding.

ERC

Appendix 1: SPE PRMS Guidelines

This report references the SPE/WPC/AAPG/SPEE/SEG/SPWLA/EAGE Petroleum Reserves and Resources Classification System and Definitions, as revised in June 2018 (PRMS). The full text of the PRMS document can be viewed at:

https://www.spe.org/en/industry/petroleum-resources-management-system-2018/

Definitions of the key PRMS Reserves and resources classes, categories and a glossary of related terms can be found at the above address.



Figure A: PRMS Resources classification framework

(Modified from Petroleum Resources Management System (PRMS) Revised June 2018, page 8, Figure 1.1)



Figure B: PRMS Resources sub-classes

(Modified from Petroleum Resources Management System (PRMS) Revised June 2018, page 8, Figure 2.1)

July 2023



| Classes/Sub- classes | Definition | Guidelines |
|-------------------------|---|--|
| Reserves | Reserves are those quantities of petroleum anticipated to be commercially recoverable by application of development projects to known accumulations from a given date forward under defined conditions. | Reserves must satisfy four criteria: discovered, recoverable, commercial, and remaining based on the development project(s) applied. Reserves are further categorized in accordance with the level of certainty associated with the estimates and may be sub-classified based on project maturity and/or characterized by the development and production status. |
| | | To be included in the Reserves class, a project must be sufficiently defined to establish its commercial viability (see Section 2.1.2, Determination of Commerciality). This includes the requirement that there is evidence of firm intention to proceed with development within a reasonable time-frame. |
| | | A reasonable time-frame for the initiation of development depends on the specific circumstances and varies according to the scope of the project. While five years is recommended as a benchmark, a longer time-frame could be applied where, for example, development of an economic project is deferred at the option of the producer for, among other things, market- related reasons or to meet contractual or strategic objectives. In all cases, the justification for classification as Reserves should be clearly documented. |
| | | To be included in the Reserves class, there must be a high confidence in the commercial maturity and economic producibility of the reservoir as supported by actual production or formation tests. In certain cases, Reserves may be assigned on the basis of well logs and/or core analysis that indicate that the subject reservoir is hydrocarbon-bearing and is analogous to reservoirs in the same area that are producing or have demonstrated the ability to produce on formation tests. |
| On Production | The development project is currently producing or capable of producing and selling petroleum to market. | The key criterion is that the project is receiving income from sales, rather than that the approved development project is necessarily complete. Includes Developed Producing Reserves. |
| | | The project decision gate is the decision to initiate or continue economic production from the project. |

Table 1: PRMS Recoverable Resources Classes and Sub-Classes

| Classes/Sub- classes | Definition | Guidelines |
|------------------------------|---|---|
| Approved for Development | All necessary approvals have been obtained, capital funds have been committed, and implementation of the development project is ready to begin or is under way. | At this point, it must be certain that the development project is going ahead. The project must not be subject to any contingencies, such as outstanding regulatory approvals or sales contracts. Forecast capital expenditures should be included in the reporting entity's current or following year's approved budget. |
| | | The project decision gate is the decision to start investing capital in the construction of production facilities and/or drilling development wells. |
| Justified for Development | Implementation of the development project is justified on the basis of reasonable forecast commercial conditions at the time of reporting, and there are reasonable expectations that all necessary approvals/contracts will be obtained. | To move to this level of project maturity, and hence have Reserves associated with it, the development project must be commercially viable at the time of reporting (see Section 2.1.2, Determination of Commerciality) and the specific circumstances of the project. All participating entities have agreed and there is evidence of a committed project (firm intention to proceed with development within a reasonable time- frame}) There must be no known contingencies that could preclude the development from proceeding (see Reserves class). |
| | | The project decision gate is the decision by the reporting entity and its partners, if any, that the project has reached a level of technical and commercial maturity sufficient to justify proceeding with development at that point in time. |
| Contingent Resources | Those quantities of petroleum estimated, as of a given date, to be potentially recoverable from known accumulations by application of development projects, but which are not currently considered to be commercially recoverable owing to one or more | Contingent Resources may include, for example, projects for which there are currently no viable markets, where commercial recovery is dependent on technology under development, where evaluation of the accumulation is insufficient to clearly assess commerciality, where the development plan is not yet approved, or where regulatory or social acceptance issues may exist. |
| | contingencies. | Contingent Resources are further categorized in accordance with the level of certainty associated with the estimates and may be sub- classified based on project maturity and/or characterized by the economic status. |



| Classes/Sub- classes | Definition | Guidelines |
|----------------------------|---|--|
| Development Pending | A discovered accumulation where project activities are ongoing to justify commercial development in the foreseeable future. | The project is seen to have reasonable potential for eventual commercial development, to the extent that further data acquisition (e.g., drilling, seismic data) and/or evaluations are currently ongoing with a view to confirming that the project is commercially viable and providing the basis for selection of an appropriate development plan. The critical contingencies have been identified and are reasonably expected to be resolved within a reasonable time-frame. Note that disappointing appraisal/evaluation results could lead to a reclassification of the project to On Hold or Not Viable status. |
| | | The project decision gate is the decision to undertake further data acquisition and/or studies designed to move the project to a level of technical and commercial maturity at which a decision can be made to proceed with development and production. |
| Development on Hold | A discovered accumulation where project activities are on hold and/or where justification as a commercial development may be subject to significant delay. | The project is seen to have potential for commercial development. Development may be subject to a significant time delay. Note that a change in circumstances, such that there is no longer a probable chance that a critical contingency can be removed in the foreseeable future, could lead to a reclassification of the project to Not Viable status. |
| | | The project decision gate is the decision to either proceed with additional evaluation designed to clarify the potential for eventual commercial development or to temporarily suspend or delay further activities pending resolution of external contingencies. |
| Development Unclarified | A discovered accumulation where project activities are under evaluation and where justification as a | The project is seen to have potential for eventual commercial development, but further appraisal/evaluation activities are ongoing to clarify the potential for eventual commercial development. |
| | commercial development is unknown based on available information. | This sub-class requires active appraisal or evaluation and should not be maintained without a plan for future evaluation. The sub-class should reflect the actions required to move a project toward commercial maturity and economic production. |

| Classes/Sub- classes | Definition | Guidelines |
|---------------------------|---|---|
| Development Not Viable | A discovered accumulation for which there are no current plans to develop or to acquire additional data at the time because of limited production potential. | The project is not seen to have potential for eventual commercial development at the time of reporting, but the theoretically recoverable quantities are recorded so that the potential opportunity will be recognized in the event of a major change in technology or commercial conditions. |
| | | The project decision gate is the decision not to undertake further data acquisition or studies on the project for the foreseeable future. |
| Prospective Resources | Those quantities of petroleum that are estimated, as of a given date, to be potentially recoverable from undiscovered accumulations. | Potential accumulations are evaluated according to the chance of geologic discovery and, assuming a discovery, the estimated quantities that would be recoverable under defined development projects. It is recognized that the development programs will be of significantly less detail and depend more heavily on analog developments in the earlier phases of exploration. |
| Prospect | A project associated with a potential accumulation that is sufficiently well defined to represent a viable drilling target. | Project activities are focused on assessing the chance of geologic discovery and, assuming discovery, the range of potential recoverable quantities under a commercial development program. |
| Lead | A project associated with a potential accumulation that is currently poorly defined and requires more data acquisition and/or evaluation to be classified as a Prospect. | Project activities are focused on acquiring additional data and/or undertaking further evaluation designed to confirm whether or not the Lead can be matured into a Prospect. Such evaluation includes the assessment of the chance of geologic discovery and, assuming discovery, the range of potential recovery under feasible development scenarios. |
| Play | A project associated with a prospective trend of potential prospects, but that requires more data acquisition and/or evaluation to define specific Leads or Prospects. | Project activities are focused on acquiring additional data and/or undertaking further evaluation designed to define specific Leads or Prospects for more detailed analysis of their chance of geologic discovery and, assuming discovery, the range of potential recovery under hypothetical development scenarios. |

| Status | Definition | Guidelines |
|--|--|--|
| Developed Reserves | Expected quantities to be recovered from existing wells and facilities. | Reserves are considered developed only after the necessary equipment has been installed, or when the costs to do so are relatively minor compared to the cost of a well. Where required facilities become unavailable, it may be necessary to reclassify Developed Reserves as Undeveloped. Developed Reserves may be further sub-classified as Producing or Non-producing. |
| Developed Producing Reserves | Expected quantities to be recovered from completion intervals that are open and producing at the effective date of the estimate. | Improved recovery Reserves are considered producing only after the improved recovery project is in operation. |
| Developed Non-Producing Reserves | Shut-in and behind-pipe Reserves. | Shut-in Reserves are expected to be recovered from (1) completion intervals that are open at the time of the estimate but which have not yet started producing, (2) wells which were shut-in for market conditions or pipeline connections, or (3) wells not capable of production for mechanical reasons. Behind-pipe Reserves are expected to be recovered from zones in existing wells that will require additional completion work or future re-completion before start of production with minor cost to access these reserves. In all cases, production can be initiated or restored with relatively low expenditure compared to the cost of drilling a new well. |
| Undeveloped Reserves | Quantities expected to be recovered through future significant investments. | Undeveloped Reserves are to be produced (1) from new wells on undrilled acreage in known accumulations, (2) from deepening existing wells to a different (but known) reservoir, (3) from infill wells that will increase recovery, or (4) where a relatively large expenditure (e.g., when compared to the cost of drilling a new well) is required to (a) recomplete an existing well or (b) install production or transportation facilities for primary or improved recovery projects. |

Table 2: PRMS Reserves Status Definitions and Guidelines

Table 3: PRMS Reserves Category Definitions and Guidelines

| Category | Definition | Guidelines |
|---|---|--|
| Proved Reserves Those quantities of petroleum that, by analysis of geoscience and engineering data, can be estimated with reasonable certainty to be commercially recoverable from a given date forward from known reservoirs and under defined economic conditions, operating methods, and government regulations. | If deterministic methods are used, the term "reasonable certainty" is intended to express a high degree of confidence that the quantities will be recovered. If probabilistic methods are used, there should be at least a 90% probability (P90) that the quantities actually recovered will equal or exceed the estimate. The area of the reservoir considered as Proved includes (1) the area delineated by drilling and defined by fluid contacts, if any, and 2) adjacent undrilled portions of the reservoir that can reasonably be judged as continuous with it and commercially productive on the basis of available geoscience and engineering data. In the absence of data on fluid contacts, Proved quantities in a reservoir are limited by the LKH as seen in a well penetration unless otherwise indicated by definitive geoscience, engineering, or performance data. Such definitive information may include pressure gradient analysis and seismic indicators. Seismic data alone may not be sufficient to define fluid contacts for Proved. | |
| | | Reserves in undeveloped locations may be classified as Proved provided that: |
| | | A. The locations are in undrilled areas of the reservoir that can be judged with reasonable certainty to be commercially mature and economically productive. B. Interpretations of available geoscience and engineering data indicate with reasonable certainty that the objective formation is laterally continuous with drilled Proved locations. For Proved Reserves, the recovery efficiency applied to these reservoirs should be defined based on a range of possibilities supported by analogs and sound engineering judgment considering the characteristics of the Proved area and the applied development program. |
| Probable Reserves | Those additional Reserves that analysis of geoscience and engineering data indicates are less likely to be recovered than Proved Reserves but more certain to be recovered than Possible Reserves. | It is equally likely that actual remaining quantities recovered will be greater than or less than the sum of the estimated Proved plus Probable Reserves (2P). In this context, when probabilistic methods are used, there should be at least a 50% probability that the actual quantities recovered will equal or exceed the 2P estimate. Probable Reserves may be assigned to areas of a reservoir adjacent to Proved where data control or interpretations of available data are less certain. The interpreted reservoir continuity may not meet the reasonable certainty criteria. Probable estimates also include incremental recoveries associated with project recovery efficiencies beyond that assumed for Proved. |



| Possible Reserves | Those additional reserves that analysis of geoscience and engineering data indicates are less likely to be recoverable than Probable Reserves. | The total quantities ultimately recovered from the project have a low probability to exceed the sum of Proved plus Probable plus Possible (3P), which is equivalent to the high-estimate scenario. When probabilistic methods are used, there should be at least a 10% probability (P10) that the actual quantities recovered will equal or exceed the 3P estimate. Possible Reserves may be assigned to areas of a reservoir adjacent to Probable where data control and interpretations of available data are progressively less certain. Frequently, this may be in areas where geoscience and engineering data are unable to clearly define the area and vertical reservoir limits of economic production from the reservoir by a defined, commercially mature project. Possible estimates also include incremental quantities associated with project recovery efficiencies beyond that assumed for Probable. |
|---|---|--|
| Probable and Possible Reserves | Probable andSee above for separate criteria for Probable ReservesPossible Reserves.Reserves and Possible Reserves. | The 2P and 3P estimates may be based on reasonable alternative technical interpretations within the reservoir and/or subject project that are clearly documented, including comparisons to results in successful similar projects. In conventional accumulations, Probable and/or Possible Reserves may be assigned where geoscience and engineering data identify directly adjacent portions of a reservoir within the same accumulation that may be separated from Proved areas by minor faulting or other geological discontinuities and have not been penetrated by a wellbore but are interpreted to be in communication with the known (Proved) reservoir. Probable or Possible Reserves may be assigned to areas that are structurally higher than the Proved area. Possible (and in some cases, Probable) Reserves may be assigned to areas that are structurally lower than the adjacent Proved or 2P area. |
| | | adjacent reservoirs isolated by major, potentially sealing faults until this reservoir is penetrated and evaluated as commercially mature and economically productive. Justification for assigning Reserves in such cases should be clearly documented. Reserves should not be assigned to areas that are clearly separated from a known accumulation by non-productive reservoir (i.e., absence of reservoir, structurally low reservoir, or negative test results); such areas may contain Prospective Resources. In conventional accumulations, where drilling has defined a highest known oil elevation and there exists the potential for an associated gas cap, Proved Reserves of oil should only be assigned in the structurally higher portions of the reservoir if there is reasonable certainty that such portions are initially above bubble point pressure based on documented engineering analyses. Reservoir portions that |
| | | do not meet this certainty may be assigned as Probable and Possible oil and/or gas based on reservoir fluid properties and pressure gradient interpretations. |

Table 4: Glossary of Terms Used in PRMS

| Term | Definition |
|--|--|
| 1C | Denotes low estimate of Contingent Resources. |
| 2C | Denotes best estimate of Contingent Resources. |
| 3C | Denotes high estimate of Contingent Resources. |
| 1P | Denotes low estimate of Reserves (i.e., Proved Reserves). Equal to P1. |
| 2P | Denotes the best estimate of Reserves. The sum of Proved plus Probable Reserves. |
| 3P | Denotes high estimate of reserves. The sum of Proved plus Probable plus Possible Reserves. |
| 1U | Denotes the unrisked low estimate qualifying as Prospective Resources. |
| 2U | Denotes the unrisked best estimate qualifying as Prospective Resources. |
| 3U | Denotes the unrisked high estimate qualifying as Prospective Resources. |
| Abandonment, Decommissionin g, and Restoration (ADR) | The process (and associated costs) of returning part or all of a project to a safe and environmentally compliant condition when operations cease. Examples include, but are not limited to, the removal of surface facilities, wellbore plugging procedures, and environmental remediation. In some instances, there may be salvage value associated with the equipment removed from the project. ADR costs are presumed to be without consideration of any salvage value, unless presented as "ADR net of salvage." |
| Accumulation | An individual body of naturally occurring petroleum in a reservoir. |
| Aggregation | The process of summing well, reservoir, or project-level estimates of resources quantities to higher levels or combinations, such as field, country or company totals. Arithmetic summation of incremental categories may yield different results from probabilistic aggregation of distributions. |
| Appraisal | The phase that may follow successful exploratory drilling. Activities to further evaluate the discovery, such as seismic acquisition, geological studies, and drilling additional wells may be conducted to reduce technical uncertainties and commercial contingencies. |
| Approved for Development | All necessary approvals have been obtained, capital funds have been committed, and implementation of the development project is underway. A project maturity sub-class of Reserves. |
| Analog | Method used in resources estimation in the exploration and early development stages (including improved recovery projects) when direct measurement is limited. Based on evaluator's assessment of similarities of the analogous reservoir(s) together with the development plan. |
| Analogous Reservoir | Reservoirs that have similar rock properties (e.g., petrophysical, lithological, depositional, diagenetic, and structural), fluid properties (e.g., type, composition, density, and viscosity), reservoir conditions (e.g., depth, temperature, and pressure) and drive mechanisms, but are typically at a more advanced stage of development than the reservoir of interest and thus may provide insight and comparative data to assist in estimation of recoverable resources. |

| Assessment | See Evaluation. |
|--------------------------------------|---|
| Associated Gas | A natural gas found in contact with or dissolved in crude oil in the reservoir. It can be further categorized as gas cap gas or solution gas. |
| Basin-Centered Gas | An unconventional natural gas accumulation that is regionally pervasive and characterized by low permeability, abnormal pressure, gas-saturated reservoirs, and lack of a down dip water leg. |
| Barrel of Oil Equivalent (BOE) | The term allows for a single value to represent the sum of all the hydrocarbon products that are forecast as resources. Typically, condensate, oil, bitumen, and synthetic crude barrels are taken to be equal (1 bbl = 1 BOE). Gas and NGL quantities are converted to an oil equivalent based on a conversion factor that is recommended to be based on a nominal heating content or calorific value equivalent to a barrel of oil. |
| Basis for Estimate | The methodology (or methodologies) and supporting data on which the estimated quantities are based. (Also referenced as basis for the estimation.) |
| Behind-Pipe Reserves | Reserves that are expected to be recovered from zones in existing wells, which will require additional completion work or future re-completion before the start of production. In all cases, production can be initiated or restored with relatively low expenditure compared to the cost of drilling and completing a new well including hook-up to allow production. |
| Best Estimate | With respect to resources categorization, the most realistic assessment of recoverable quantities if only a single result were reported. If probabilistic methods are used, there should be at least a 50% probability (P50) that the quantities actually recovered will equal or exceed the best estimate. |
| C1 | Denotes low estimate of Contingent Resources. C1 is equal to 1C. |
| C2 | Denotes Contingent Resources of same technical confidence as Probable, but not commercially matured to Reserves. |
| C3 | Denotes Contingent Resources of same technical confidence as Possible, but not commercially matured to Reserves. |

| Chance | Chance equals 1-risk. Generally synonymous with likelihood. (See Risk) |
|------------------------------------|---|
| | |
| Chance of Commerciality | The estimated probability that the project will achieve commercial maturity to be developed. For Prospective Resources, this is the product of the chance of geologic discovery and the chance of development. For Contingent Resources and Reserves, it is equal to the chance of development. |
| Chance of Development | The estimated probability that a known accumulation, once discovered, will be commercially developed. |
| Chance of Geologic Discovery | The estimated probability that exploration activities will confirm the existence of a significant accumulation of potentially recoverable petroleum. |
| Coalbed Methane (CBM) | Natural gas contained in coal deposits. Coalbed gas, although usually mostly methane, may be produced with variable amounts of inert or even non-inert gases. [Also called coal-seam gas (CSG) or natural gas from coal (NGC).] |

| Commercial | A project is commercial when there is evidence of a firm intention to proceed with development within a reasonable time-frame. Typically, this requires that the best estimate case meet or exceed the minimum evaluation decision criteria (e.g., rate of return, investment payout time). There must be a reasonable expectation that all required internal and external approvals will be forthcoming. Also, there must be evidence of a technically mature, feasible development plan and the essential social, environmental, economic, political, legal, regulatory, decision criteria, and contractual conditions are met |
|---------------------------------|--|
| Committed Project | Project that the entity has a firm intention to develop in a reasonable time- frame. Intent is demonstrated with funding/financial plans, but FID has not yet been declared (See also Final Investment Decision.) |
| Completion | Completion of a well. The process by which a well is brought to its operating status (e.g., producer, injector, or monitor well). A well deemed to be capable of producing petroleum, or used as an injector, is completed by establishing a connection between the reservoir(s) and the surface so that fluids can be produced from, or injected into, the reservoir. |
| Completion Interval | The specific reservoir interval(s) that is (are) open to the borehole and connected to the surface facilities for production or injection, or reservoir intervals open to the wellbore and each other for injection purposes. |
| Concession | A grant of access for a defined area and time period that transfers certain entitlements to produced hydrocarbons from the host country to an entity. The entity is generally responsible for exploration, development, production, and sale of hydrocarbons that may be discovered. Typically granted under a legislated fiscal system where the host country collects taxes, fees, and sometimes royalty on profits earned. (Also called a license.) |
| Condensate | A mixture of hydrocarbons (mainly pentanes and heavier) that exist in the gaseous phase at original temperature and pressure of the reservoir, but when produced, are in the liquid phase at surface pressure and temperature conditions. Condensate differs from NGLs in two respects: (1) NGL is extracted and recovered in gas plants rather than lease separators or other lease facilities, and (2) NGL includes very light hydrocarbons (ethane, propane, or butanes) as well as the pentanes-plus that are the main constituents of condensate. |
| Confidence Level | A measure of the estimated reliability of a result. As used in the deterministic incremental method, the evaluator assigns a relative level of confidence (high/moderate/low) to areas/segments of an accumulation based on the information available (e.g., well control and seismic coverage). Probabilistic and statistical methods use the 90% (P90) for the high confidence (low value case), 50% (P50) for the best estimate (moderate value case), and 10% (P10) for the low (high value case) estimate to represent the chances that the actual value will equal or exceed the estimate. |
| Constant Case | A descriptor applied to the economic evaluation of resources estimates. Constant-case estimates are based on current economic conditions being those conditions (including costs and product prices) that are fixed at the evaluation date and held constant, with no inflation or deflation made to costs or prices throughout the remainder of the project life other than those permitted contractually. |
| Consumed in Operations (CiO) | That portion of produced petroleum consumed as fuel in production or lease plant operations before delivery to the market at the reference point. (Also called lease fuel.) |



| Contingency | A condition that must be satisfied for a project in Contingent Resources to be reclassified as Reserves. Resolution of contingencies for projects in Development Pending is expected to be achieved within a reasonable time period. |
|-----------------------------------|--|
| Contingent Project | A project that is not yet commercial owing to one or more contingencies that have not been resolved. |
| Contingent Resources | Those quantities of petroleum estimated, as of a given date, to be potentially recoverable from known accumulations by application of development projects, but which are not currently considered to be commercially recoverable owing to one or more contingencies. |
| Continuous- Type Deposit | A petroleum accumulation that is pervasive throughout a large area and that generally lacks well-defined OWC or GWC. Such accumulations are included in unconventional resources. Examples of such deposits include "basin-centered" gas, tight gas, tight oil, gas hydrates, natural bitumen, and oil shale (kerogen) accumulations. |
| Conventional Resources | Resources that exist in porous and permeable rock with buoyancy pressure equilibrium. The PIIP is trapped in discrete accumulations related to a localized geological structural feature and/or stratigraphic condition, typically with each accumulation bounded by a down dip contact with an aquifer and is significantly affected by hydrodynamic influences such as buoyancy of petroleum in water. |
| Cost Recovery | Under a typical production-sharing agreement, the contractor is responsible for the field development and all exploration and development expenses. In return, the contractor recovers costs (investments and operating expenses) out of the production stream. The contractor normally receives an entitlement interest share in the petroleum production and is exposed to both technical and market risks. |
| Crude Oil | Crude oil is the portion of petroleum that exists in the liquid phase in natural underground reservoirs and remains liquid at atmospheric conditions of pressure and temperature (excludes retrograde condensate). Crude oil may include small amounts of non-hydrocarbons produced with the liquids but does not include liquids obtained from the processing of natural gas. |
| Cumulative Production | The sum of petroleum quantities that have been produced at a given date. (See also Production). Production is measured under defined conditions to allow for the computation of both reservoir voidage and sales quantities and for the purpose of voidage also includes non-petroleum quantities. |
| Current Economic Conditions | Economic conditions based on relevant historical petroleum prices and associated costs averaged over a specified period. The default period is 12 months. However, in the event that a step change has occurred within the previous 12-month period, the use of a shorter period reflecting the step change must be justified and used as the basis of constant-case resources estimates and associated project cash flows. |
| Defined Conditions | Forecast of conditions to exist and impact the project during the time period being evaluated. Forecasts should account for issues that impact the commerciality, such as economics (e.g., hurdle rates and commodity price); operating and capital costs; and technical, marketing, sales route, legal, environmental, social, and governmental factors. |
| Deposit | Material laid down by a natural process. In resources evaluations, it identifies an accumulation of hydrocarbons in a reservoir. (See Accumulation.) |



| Deterministic Incremental Method | An assessment method based on defining discrete parts or segments of the accumulation that reflect high, moderate, and low confidence regarding the estimates of recoverable quantities under the defined development plan. |
|---|---|
| Deterministic Method | An assessment method based on discrete estimate(s) made based on available geoscience, engineering, and economic data and corresponds to a given level of certainty. |
| Deterministic Scenario Method | Method where the evaluator provides three deterministic estimates of the quantities to be recovered from the project being applied to the accumulation. Estimates consider the full range of values for each input parameter based on available engineering and geoscience data, but one set is selected that is most appropriate for the corresponding resources confidence category. A single outcome of recoverable quantities is derived for each scenario. |
| Developed Reserves | Reserves that are expected to be recovered from existing wells and facilities. Developed Reserves may be further sub-classified as Producing or Non- Producing. |
| Developed Producing Reserves | Developed Reserves that are expected to be recovered from completion intervals that are open and producing at the effective date. Improved recovery reserves are considered producing only after the improved recovery project is in operation. |
| Developed Non- Producing Reserves | Developed Reserves that are either shut-in or behind-pipe. (See also Shut- In Resources and Behind-Pipe Reserves.) |
| Development On Hold | A discovered accumulation where project activities are on hold and/or where justification as a commercial development may be subject to significant delay. A project maturity sub-class of Contingent Resources. |
| Development Not Viable | A discovered accumulation for which there are contingencies resulting in there being no current plans to develop or to acquire additional data at the time due to limited commercial potential. A project maturity sub-class of Contingent Resources. |
| Development Pending | A discovered accumulation where project activities are ongoing to justify commercial development in the foreseeable future. A project maturity sub- class of Contingent Resources. |
| Development Plan | The design specifications, timing, and cost estimates of the appraisal and development project(s) that are planned in a field or group of fields. The plan will include, but is not limited to, well locations, completion techniques, drilling methods, processing facilities, transportation, regulations, and marketing. The plan is often executed in phases when involving large, complex, sequential recovery and/or extensive areas. |
| Development Unclarified | A discovered accumulation where project activities are under evaluation and where justification as a commercial development is unknown based on available information. This sub-class requires appraisal or study and should not be maintained without a plan for future evaluation. The sub- class should reflect the actions required to move a project toward commercial maturity. A project maturity sub-class of Contingent Resources. |

| Discovered | A petroleum accumulation where one or several exploratory wells through testing, sampling, and/or logging have demonstrated the existence of a significant quantity of potentially recoverable hydrocarbons and thus have established a known accumulation. In this context, "significant" implies that there is evidence of a sufficient quantity of petroleum to justify estimating the in-place volume demonstrated by the well(s) and for evaluating the potential for technical recovery. (See also Known Accumulation.) |
|---|---|
| Discovered Petroleum Initially-In-Place | Quantity of petroleum that is estimated, as of a given date, to be contained in known accumulations before production. Discovered PIIP may be subdivided into commercial, sub-commercial, and the portion remaining in the reservoir as Unrecoverable. |
| Discovered Unrecoverable | Discovered petroleum in-place resources that are evaluated, as of a given date, as not able to be recovered by the commercial and sub-commercial projects envisioned. |
| Dry Gas | Natural gas remaining after hydrocarbon liquids have been removed before the reference point. It should be recognized that this is a resources assessment definition and not a phase behavior definition. (Also called lean gas.) |
| Economic | A project is economic when it has a positive undiscounted cumulative cash flow from the effective date of the evaluation, the net revenue exceeds the net cost of operation (i.e., positive cumulative net cash flow at discount rate greater than or equal to zero percent). |
| Economic Interest | Interest that is possessed when an entity has acquired an interest in the minerals in-place or a license and secures, by any form of legal relationship, revenue derived from the extraction of the mineral to which he must look for a return. |
| Economic Limit | Defined as the time when the maximum cumulative net cash flow (see Net Entitlement) occurs for a project. |
| Economically Not Viable Contingent Resources | Those quantities for which development projects are not expected to yield positive cash flows under reasonable forecast conditions. May also be subject to additional unsatisfied contingencies. |
| Economically Viable Contingent Resources | Those quantities associated with technically feasible projects where cash flows are positive under reasonable forecast conditions but are not Reserves because it does not meet the other commercial criteria |
| Economically Producible | Refers to the situation where the net revenue from an ongoing producing project exceeds the net expenses attributable to a certain entity's interest. The ADR costs are excluded from the determination. |
| Effective Date | Resource estimates of remaining quantities are "as of the given date" (effective date) of the evaluation. The evaluation must take into account all data related to the period before the "as of date." |
| Entitlement | That portion of future production (and thus resources) legally accruing to an entity under the terms of the development and production contract or license. |
| Entity | A legal construct capable of bearing legal rights and obligations. In resources evaluations, this typically refers to the lessee or contractor, which is some form of legal corporation (or consortium of corporations). In a broader sense, an entity can be an organization of any form and may include governments or their agencies. |
| Established Technology | Methods of recovery or processing that have proved to be successful in commercial applications. |



| Estimated Ultimate Recovery (EUR) | Those quantities of petroleum estimated, as of a given date, to be potentially recoverable plus those quantities that have been already produced. For clarity, EUR must reference the associated technical and commercial conditions for the resources; for example, proved EUR is Proved Reserves plus prior production. |
|---|--|
| Evaluation | The geosciences, engineering, and associated studies, including economic analyses, conducted on a petroleum exploration, development, or producing project resulting in estimates of the quantities that can be recovered and sold and the associated cash flow under defined forward conditions. (Also called assessment.) |
| Evaluator | The person or group of persons responsible for performing an evaluation of a project. These may be employees of the entities that have an economic interest in the project or independent consultants contracted for reviews and audits. In all cases, the entity accepting the evaluation takes responsibility for the results, including its resources and attributed value estimates. |
| Exploration | Prospecting for undiscovered petroleum using various techniques, such as seismic surveys, geological studies, and exploratory drilling. |
| Field | In conventional reservoirs, a field is typically an area consisting of a single reservoir or multiple reservoirs all grouped on, or related to, the same individual geological structural feature and/or stratigraphic condition. There may be two or more reservoirs in a field that are separated vertically by intervening impermeable rock, laterally by local geologic barriers, or both. The term may be defined differently by individual regulatory authorities. For unconventional reservoirs without hydrodynamic influences, a field is often defined by regulatory or ownership boundaries as necessary. |
| Final Investment Decision (FID) | Project approval stage when the participating companies have firmly agreed to the project and the required capital funding. |
| Flare Gas | The total quantity of gas vented and/or burned as part of production and processing operations (but not as fuel). |
| Flow Test | An operation on a well designed to demonstrate the existence of recoverable petroleum in a reservoir by establishing flow to the surface and/or to provide an indication of the potential productivity of that reservoir (such as a wireline formation test). May also demonstrate the potential of certain completion techniques, particularly in unconventional reservoirs. |
| Fluid Contacts | The surface or interface in a reservoir separating two regions characterized by predominant differences in fluid saturations. Because of capillary and other phenomena, fluid saturation change is not necessarily abrupt or complete, nor is the surface necessarily horizontal. |
| Forecast Case | A descriptor applied to a scenario when production and associated cash- flow estimates are based on those conditions (including costs and product price schedules, inflation indexes, and market factors) forecast by the evaluator to reasonably exist throughout the evaluation life (i.e., defined |
| | conditions). Inflation or deflation adjustments are made to costs and revenues over the evaluation period. |
| Gas Balance | In gas production operations involving multiple working interest owners, maintaining a statement of volumes attributed to each, depending on each owner's portion received. Imbalances may occur that must be monitored over time and eventually balanced in accordance with accepted accounting procedures. |

| Gas Hydrates | Naturally occurring crystalline substances composed of water and gas, in which a solid water lattice accommodates gas molecules in a cage-like structure or clathrate. At conditions of standard temperature and pressure, one volume of saturated methane hydrate will contain as much as 164 volumes of methane gas. Gas hydrates are included in unconventional resources, but the technology to support commercial maturity has yet to be developed. |
|------------------------------|---|
| Gas/Oil Ratio | Ratio that is calculated using measured natural gas and crude oil volumes at stated conditions. The gas/oil ratio may be the solution gas/oil ratio, Rs ; produced gas/oil ratio, Rp ; or another suitably defined ratio of gas production to oil production. |
| Geostatistical Methods | A variety of mathematical techniques and processes dealing with the collection, methods, analysis, interpretation, and presentation of large quantities of geoscience and engineering data to (mathematically) describe the variability and uncertainties within any reservoir unit or pool, specifically related here to resources estimates. |
| High Estimate | With respect to resources categorization, this is considered to be an optimistic estimate of the quantity that will actually be recovered from an accumulation by a project. If probabilistic methods are used, there should be at least a 10% probability (P10) that the quantities actually recovered will equal or exceed the high estimate. |
| Hydrates | See Gas Hydrates. |
| Hydrocarbons | Hydrocarbons are chemical compounds consisting wholly of hydrogen and carbon molecules. |
| Improved Recovery | The extraction of additional petroleum, beyond primary recovery, from naturally occurring reservoirs by supplementing the natural forces in the reservoir. It includes waterflooding and gas injection for pressure maintenance, secondary processes, tertiary processes, and any other means of supplementing natural reservoir recovery processes. Improved recovery also includes thermal and chemical processes to improve the in- situ mobility of viscous forms of petroleum. (Also called enhanced recovery.) |
| Injection | The forcing, pumping, or natural flow of substances into a porous and permeable subsurface rock formation. Injected substances can include either gases or liquids. |
| Justified for Development | A development project that has reasonable forecast commercial conditions at the time of reporting and there are reasonable expectation that all necessary approvals/contracts will be obtained. A project maturity sub- class of Reserves. |
| Kerogen | The naturally occurring, solid, insoluble organic material that occurs in source rocks and can yield oil upon heating. Kerogen is also defined as the fraction of large chemical aggregates in sedimentary organic matter that is insoluble in solvents (in contrast, the fraction that is soluble in organic solvents is called bitumen). (See also Oil Shales.) |
| Known Accumulation | An accumulation that has been discovered. |
| Lead | A project associated with a potential accumulation that is currently poorly defined and requires more data acquisition and/or evaluation to be classified as a Prospect. A project maturity sub-class of Prospective Resources. |



| Learning Curve | Demonstrated improvements over time in performance of a repetitive task that results in efficiencies in tasks to be realized and/or in reduced time to perform and ultimately in cost reductions. |
|---------------------------------------|--|
| Likelihood | Likelihood (the estimated probability or chance) is equal (1- risk). (See Probability and Risk.) |
| Low/Best/High Estimates | Reflects the range of uncertainty as a reasonable range of estimated potentially recoverable quantities. |
| Low Estimate | With respect to resources categorization, this is a conservative estimate of the quantity that will actually be recovered from the accumulation by a project. If probabilistic methods are used, there should be at least a 90% probability (P90) that the quantities actually recovered will equal or exceed the low estimate. |
| Lowest Known Hydrocarbons (LKH) | The deepest documented occurrence of a producible hydrocarbon accumulation as interpreted from well log, flow test, pressure measurement, core data, or other conclusive and reliable evidence. |
| Market | A consumer or group of consumers of a product that has been obtained through purchase, barter, or contractual terms. |
| Marketable Quantities | Those quantities of hydrocarbons that are estimated to be producible from petroleum accumulations and that will be consumed by the market. (Also referred to as marketable products.) |
| Mean | The sum of a set of numerical values divided by the number of values in the set. |
| Measurement | The process of establishing quantity (volume, mass, or energy content) and quality of petroleum products delivered to a reference point under conditions defined by delivery contract or regulatory authorities. |
| Mineral Lease | An agreement in which a mineral owner (lessor) grants an entity (lessee) rights. Such rights can include (1) a fee ownership or lease, concession, or other interest representing the right to extract oil or gas subject to such terms as may be imposed by the conveyance of the lease; (2) royalty interests, production payments payable in oil or gas, and other non-operating interests in properties operated by others; and/or (3) those agreements with foreign governments or authorities under which a reporting entity participates in the operation of the related properties or otherwise serves as producer of the underlying reserves (as opposed to being an independent purchaser, broker, dealer, or importer). |
| Monte Carlo Simulation | A type of stochastic mathematical simulation that randomly and repeatedly samples input distributions (e.g., reservoir properties) to generate a resulting distribution (e.g., recoverable petroleum quantities). |
| Multi-Scenario Method | An extension of the deterministic scenario method. In this case, a significant number of discrete deterministic scenarios are developed by the evaluator, with each scenario leading to a single deterministic outcome. Probabilities may be assigned to each discrete input assumption from which the probability of the scenario can be obtained; alternatively, each outcome may be assumed to be equally likely. |

| Natural Bitumen | The portion of petroleum that exists in the semi-solid or solid phase in natural deposits. In its natural state, it usually contains sulfur, metals, and other non- hydrocarbons. Natural bitumen has a viscosity greater than 10,000 mPa·s (or 10,000 cp) measured at original temperature in the deposit and atmospheric pressure, on a gas free basis. In its natural viscous state, it is not normally recoverable at commercial rates through a well and requires the implementation of improved recovery methods such as steam injection. Natural bitumen generally requires upgrading before normal refining. |
|-------------------------------|--|
| Natural Gas | Portion of petroleum that exists either in the gaseous phase or is in solution in crude oil in a reservoir, and which is gaseous at atmospheric conditions of pressure and temperature. Natural gas may include some amount of non- hydrocarbons. |
| Natural Gas Liquids (NGLs) | A mixture of light hydrocarbons that exist in the gaseous phase in the reservoir and are recovered as liquids in gas processing plants. NGLs differ from condensate in two principal respects: (1) NGLs are extracted and recovered in gas plants rather than lease separators or other lease facilities, and (2) NGLs include very light hydrocarbons (ethane, propane, or butanes) as well as the pentanes-plus that are the main constituents of condensates. |
| Net Entitlement | That portion of future production (and thus resources) legally accruing to an entity under the terms of the development and production contract or license. Under the terms of PSCs, the producers have an entitlement to a portion of the production. This entitlement, often referred to as "net entitlement" or "net economic interest" is estimated using a formula based on the contract terms incorporating costs and profits. |
| Net Pay | The portion (after applying cutoffs) of the thickness of a reservoir from which petroleum can be produced or extracted. Value is referenced to a true vertical thickness measured. |
| Net Revenue Interest | An entity's revenue share of petroleum sales after deduction of royalties or share of production owing to others under applicable lease and fiscal terms. (See also Entitlement and Net Entitlement) |
| Netback Calculation | Term used in the hydrocarbon product price determination at reference point to reflect the revenue of one unit of sales after the costs associated with bringing the product to a market (e.g., transportation and processing) are removed. |
| Non- Hydrocarbon Gas | Associated gases such as nitrogen, carbon dioxide, hydrogen sulfide, and helium that are present in naturally occurring petroleum accumulations. |
| Non-Sales | That portion of estimated recoverable or produced quantities that will not be included in sales as contractually defined at the reference point. Non- sales include quantities CiO, flare, and surface losses, and may include non- hydrocarbons. |
| Oil Sands | Sand deposits highly saturated with natural bitumen. Also called "tar sands." Note that in deposits such as the western Canada oil sands, significant quantities of natural bitumen may be hosted in a range of lithologies, including siltstones and carbonates. |
| Oil Shales | Shale, siltstone, and marl deposits highly saturated with kerogen. Whether extracted by mining or in-situ processes, the material must be extensively processed to yield a marketable product (synthetic crude oil). (Often called kerogen shale.) |



| On Production | A project maturity sub-class of Reserves that reflects the operational execution phase of one or multiple development projects with the Reserves currently producing or capable of producing. Includes Developed Producing and Developed Non-Producing Reserves. |
|---|---|
| Overlift/Underlift | Production entitlements received that vary from contractual terms resulting in overlift or underlift positions. This can occur in annual records because of the necessity for companies to lift their entitlement in parcel sizes to suit the available shipping schedules as agreed upon by the parties. At any given financial year- end, a company may be in overlift or underlift. Based on the production matching the company's accounts, production should be reported in accord with and equal to the liftings actually made by the company during the year and not on the production entitlement for the year. |
| P1 | Denotes Proved Reserves. P1 is equal to 1P. |
| P2 | Denotes Probable Reserves. |
| P3 | Denotes Possible Reserves. |
| Penetration | The intersection of a wellbore with a reservoir. |
| Petroleum | Defined as a naturally occurring mixture consisting of hydrocarbons in the gaseous, liquid, or solid phase. Petroleum may also contain non-hydrocarbon compounds, common examples of which are carbon dioxide, nitrogen, hydrogen sulfide, and sulfur. In rare cases, non-hydrocarbon content of petroleum can be greater than 50%. |
| Petroleum Initially-in-Place (PIIP) | The total quantity of petroleum that is estimated to exist originally in naturally occurring reservoirs, as of a given date. Crude oil in-place, natural gas in-place, and natural bitumen in-place are defined in the same manner. |
| Pilot Project | A small-scale test or trial operation used to assess technology, including recovery processes, for commercial application in a specific reservoir. |
| Play | A project associated with a prospective trend of potential prospects, but which requires more data acquisition and/or evaluation to define specific Leads or Prospects. A project maturity sub-class of Prospective Resources. |
| Pool | An individual and separate accumulation of petroleum in a reservoir within a field. |
| Possible Reserves | An incremental category of estimated recoverable quantities associated with a defined degree of uncertainty. Possible Reserves are those additional reserves that analysis of geoscience and engineering data suggest are less likely to be recoverable than Probable Reserves. The total quantities ultimately recovered from the project have a low probability to exceed the sum of Proved plus Probable plus Possible (3P), which is equivalent to the high estimate scenario. When probabilistic methods are used, there should be at least a 10% probability that the actual quantities recovered will equal or exceed the 3P estimate. |
| Primary Recovery | The extraction of petroleum from reservoirs using only the natural energy available in the reservoirs to move fluids through the reservoir rock to other points of recovery. |
| Probability | The extent to which an event is likely to occur, measured by the ratio of the favorable cases to the whole number of cases possible. PRMS convention is to quote cumulative probability of exceeding or equaling a quantity where P90 is the small estimate and P10 is the large estimate. (See also Uncertainty.) |

| Probabilistic Method | The method of estimation of resources is called probabilistic when the known geoscience, engineering, and economic data are used to generate a continuous range of estimates and their associated probabilities. |
|--|---|
| Probable Reserves | An incremental category of estimated recoverable quantities associated with a defined degree of uncertainty. Probable Reserves are those additional Reserves that are less likely to be recovered than Proved Reserves but more certain to be recovered than Possible Reserves. It is equally likely that actual remaining quantities recovered will be greater than or less than the sum of the estimated Proved plus Probable Reserves (2P). In this context, when probabilistic methods are used, there should be at least a 50% probability that the actual quantities recovered will equal or exceed the 2P estimate. |
| Production | The cumulative quantities of petroleum that have been recovered at a given date. Production can be reported in terms of the sales product specifications, but project evaluation requires that all production quantities (sales and non-sales), as measured to support engineering analyses requiring reservoir voidage calculations, are recognized. |
| Production Forecast | A forecasted schedule of production over time. For Reserves, the production forecast reflects a specific development scenario under a specific recovery process, a certain number and type of wells and particular facilities and infrastructure. When forecasting Contingent or Prospective Resources, more than one project scope (e.g., wells and facilities) is frequently carried to determine the range of the potential project and its uncertainty together with the associated resources defining the low, best, and high production forecasts. The uncertainty in resources estimates associated with a production forecast is usually quantified by using at least three scenarios or cases of low, best, and high, which lead to the resources classifications of, respectively, 1P, 2P, 3P and 1C, 2C, 3C or 1U,2U and 3U. |
| Production- Sharing Contract (PSC) | A contract between a contractor and a host government in which the contractor typically bears the risk and costs for exploration, development, and production. In return, if exploration is successful, the contractor is given the opportunity to recover the incurred investment from production, subject to specific limits and terms. Ownership of petroleum in the ground is retained by the host government; however, the contractor normally receives title to the prescribed share of the quantities as they are produced. (Also termed production-sharing agreement (PSA). |
| Project | A defined activity or set of activities that provides the link between the petroleum accumulation's resources sub-class and the decision-making process, including budget allocation. A project may, for example, constitute the development of a single reservoir or field, an incremental development in a larger producing field, or the integrated development of a group of several fields and associated facilities (e.g. compression) with a common ownership. In general, an individual project will represent a specific maturity level (sub-class) at which a decision is made on whether or not to proceed (i.e., spend money), suspend, or remove. |
| | for that project. (See also Development Plan.) |
| Property | A defined portion of the Earth's crust wherein an entity has contractual rights to extract, process, and market specified in-place minerals (including petroleum). In general, defined as an area but may have depth and/or stratigraphic constraints. May also be termed a lease, concession, or license. |



| Prospect | A project associated with an undrilled potential accumulation that is sufficiently well defined to represent a viable drilling target. A project maturity sub-class of Prospective Resources. |
|------------------------------------|---|
| Prospective Resources | Those quantities of petroleum estimated, as of a given date, to be potentially recoverable from undiscovered accumulations by application of future development projects. |
| Proved Reserves | An incremental category of estimated recoverable quantities associated with a defined degree of uncertainty. Proved Reserves are those quantities of petroleum that, by analysis of geoscience and engineering data, can be estimated with reasonable certainty to be commercially recoverable, from a given date forward, from known reservoirs and under defined economic conditions, operating methods, and government regulations. If deterministic methods are used, the term "reasonable certainty" is intended to express a high degree of confidence that the quantities will be recovered. If probabilistic methods are used, there should be at least a 90% probability that the quantities actually recovered will equal or exceed the estimate. |
| Pure Service Contract | Agreement between a contractor and a host government that typically covers a defined technical service to be provided or completed during a specific time period. The service company investment is typically limited to the value of equipment, tools, and expenses for personnel used to perform the service. In most cases, the service contractor's reimbursement is fixed by the contract's terms with little exposure to either project performance or market factors. No Reserves or Resources can be attributed to these activities. |
| Qualified Reserves Auditor | A reserves evaluator who (1) has a minimum of ten years of practical experience in petroleum engineering or petroleum production geology, with at least five years of such experience being in responsible charge of the estimation and evaluation of Reserves information; and (2) either (a) has obtained from a college or university of recognized stature a bachelor's or advanced degree in petroleum engineering, geology, or other discipline of engineering or physical science or (b) has received, and is maintaining in good standing, a registered or certified professional engineer's license or a registered or certified professional geologist's license, or the equivalent, from an appropriate governmental authority or professional organization. (see SPE 2007 "Standards Pertaining to the Estimating and Auditing of Oil and Gas Reserves Information") |
| Qualified Reserves Evaluator | A reserves evaluator who (1) has a minimum of five years of practical experience in petroleum engineering or petroleum production geology, with at least three years of such experience being in the estimation and evaluation of Reserves information; and (2) either (a) has obtained from a college or university of recognized stature a bachelor's or advanced degree in petroleum engineering, geology, or other discipline of engineering or physical science or (b) has received, and is maintaining in good standing, a registered or certified professional engineer's license or a registered or certified professional geologist's license, or the equivalent, from an appropriate governmental authority or professional organization. (modified from SPE 2007 "Standards Pertaining to the Estimating and Auditing of Oil and Gas Reserves Information") |
| Range of Uncertainty | The range of uncertainty of the in-place, recoverable, and/or potentially recoverable quantities; may be represented by either deterministic estimates or by a probability distribution. (See Resources Categories.) |
| Raw Production | All components, whether hydrocarbon or other, produced from the well or extracted from the mine (hydrocarbons, water, impurities such as non-hydrocarbon gases, etc.). |

| Passanahla | If daterministic methods for estimating recoverable recourses quantities are |
|---------------------------|---|
| Certainty | used, then reasonable certainty is intended to express a high degree of confidence that the estimated quantities will be recovered. Typically attributed to Proved Reserves or 1C Resources quantities. |
| Reasonable Expectation | Indicates a high degree of confidence (low risk of failure) that the project will proceed with commercial development or the referenced event will occur. (Differs from reasonable certainty, which applies to resources quantity technical confidence, while reasonable expectation relates to commercial confidence.). |
| Recoverable Resources | Those quantities of hydrocarbons that are estimated to be producible by the project from either discovered or undiscovered accumulations. |
| Recovery Efficiency | A numeric expression of that portion (expressed as a percentage) of in- place quantities of petroleum estimated to be recoverable by specific processes or projects, most often represented as a percentage. It is estimated using the recoverable resources divided by the hydrocarbons initially in-place. It is also referenced to timing; current and ultimate (or estimated ultimate) are descriptors applied to reference the stage of the recovery. (Also called recovery factor.) |
| Reference Point | A defined location within a petroleum extraction and processing operation where quantities of produced product are measured under defined conditions before custody transfer (or consumption). Also called point of sale, terminal point, or custody transfer point. |
| Report | The presentation of evaluation results within the entity conducting the assessment. Should not be construed as replacing requirements for public disclosures under guidelines established by regulatory and/or other government agencies. |
| Reserves | Those quantities of petroleum anticipated to be commercially recoverable by application of development projects to known accumulations from a given date forward under defined conditions. Reserves must satisfy four criteria: they must be discovered, recoverable, commercial, and remaining (as of a given date) based on the development project(s) applied. |
| Reservoir | A subsurface rock formation that contains an individual and separate natural accumulation of petroleum that is confined by impermeable barriers, pressure systems, or fluid regimes (conventional reservoirs), or is confined by hydraulic fracture barriers or fluid regimes (unconventional reservoirs). |
| Resources | Term used to encompass all quantities of petroleum (recoverable and unrecoverable) naturally occurring in an accumulation on or within the Earth's crust, discovered and undiscovered, plus those quantities already produced. Further, it includes all types of petroleum whether currently considered conventional or unconventional. (See Total Petroleum Initially- in-Place.) |
| Resources Categories | Subdivisions of estimates of resources to be recovered by a project(s) to indicate the associated degrees of uncertainty. Categories reflect uncertainties in the total petroleum remaining within the accumulation (in- place resources), that portion of the in-place petroleum that can be recovered by applying a defined development project or projects, and variations in the conditions that may impact commercial development (e.g., market availability and contractual changes). The resource quantity uncertainty range within a single resources class is reflected by either the 1P, 2P, 3P, Proved, Probable, Possible, or 1C, 2C, 3C or 1U, 2U, 3U resources categories. |



| Resources Classes | Subdivisions of resources that indicate the relative maturity of the development projects being applied to yield the recoverable quantity estimates. Project maturity may be indicated qualitatively by allocation to classes and sub-classes and/or quantitatively by associating a project's estimated likelihood of commerciality. |
|---------------------------------|--|
| Resources Type | Describes the accumulation and is determined by the combination of the type of hydrocarbon and the rock in which it occurs. |
| Revenue- Sharing Contract | Contracts that are very similar to the PSCs with the exception of contractor payment in these contracts, the contractor usually receives a defined share of revenue rather than a share of the production. |
| Risk | The probability of loss or failure. Risk is not synonymous with uncertainty. Risk is generally associated with the negative outcome, the term "chance" is preferred for general usage to describe the probability of a discrete event occurring. |
| Risk and Reward | Risk and reward associated with oil and gas production activities are attributed primarily from the variation in revenues cause by technical and economic risks. The exposure to risk in conjunction with entitlement rights is required to support an entity's resources recognition. Technical risk affects an entity's ability to physically extract and recover hydrocarbons and is usually dependent on a number of technical parameters. Economic risk is a function of the success of a project and is critically dependent on cost, price, and political or other economic factors. |
| Risk Service Contract (RSC) | Agreements that are very similar to the production-sharing agreements in that the risk is borne by the contractor but the mechanism of contractor payment is different. With a RSC, the contractor usually receives a defined share of revenue rather than a share of the production. |
| Royalty | A type of entitlement interest in a resource that is free and clear of the costs and expenses of development and production to the royalty interest owner. A royalty is commonly retained by a resources owner (lessor/host) when granting rights to a producer (lessee/contractor) to develop and produce that resource. Depending on the specific terms defining the royalty, the payment obligation may be expressed in monetary terms as a portion of the proceeds of production or as a right to take a portion of production in-kind. The royalty terms may also provide the option to switch between forms of payment at discretion of the royalty owner. |
| Sales | The quantity of petroleum and any non-hydrocarbon product delivered at the custody transfer point (reference point) with specifications and measurement conditions as defined in the sales contract and/or by regulatory authorities. |
| Shale Gas | Although the terms shale gas and tight gas are often used interchangeably in public discourse, shale formations are only a subset of all low- permeability tight formations, which include sandstones and carbonates, as well as shales, as sources of tight gas production |
| Shale Oil | Although the terms shale oil and tight oil are often used interchangeably in public discourse, shale formations are only a subset of all low-permeability tight formations, which include sandstones and carbonates, as well as shales, as sources of tight oil production |
| Shut-In Resources | Resources planned to be recovered from (1) completion intervals that are open at the time of the estimate, but which have not started producing; (2) wells that were shut-in for market conditions or pipeline connections; or (3) wells not capable of production for mechanical reasons that can be remediated at a limited cost compared to the cost of the well. |



| Split Classification | A single project should be uniquely assigned to a sub-class along with its uncertainty range, For example, a project cannot have quantities categorized as 1C, 2P, and 3P. This is referred to as "split classification." If there are differing commercial conditions, separate sub-classes should be defined. |
|---|---|
| Split Conditions | The uncertainty in recoverable quantities is assessed for each project using resources categories. The assumed commercial conditions are associated with resource classes or sub-classes and not with the resources categories. For example, the product price assumptions are those assumed when classifying projects as Reserves, and a different price would not be used for assessing Proved versus Probable reserves. That would be referred to as "split conditions." |
| Stochastic | Adjective defining a process involving or containing a random variable or variables or involving likelihood or probability, such as a stochastic simulation. |
| Sub-Commercial | A project subdivision that is applied to discovered resources that occurs if either the technical or commercial maturity conditions of project have not yet been achieved. A project is sub-commercial if the degree of commitment is such that the accumulation is not expected to be developed and placed on production within a reasonable time-frame. Sub-commercial projects are classified as Contingent Resources. |
| Sunk Cost | Money spent before the effective date and that cannot be recovered by any future action. Sunk costs are not relevant to future business decisions because the cost will be the same regardless of the outcome of the decision. Sunk costs differ from committed (obligated) costs, where there is a firm and binding agreement to spend specified amounts of money at specific times in the future (i.e., after the effective date). |
| Synthetic Crude Oil | A mixture of hydrocarbons derived by upgrading (i.e., chemically altering) natural bitumen from oil sands, kerogen from oil shales, or processing of other substances such as natural gas or coal. Synthetic crude oil may contain sulfur or other non-hydrocarbon compounds and has many similarities to crude oil. |
| Taxes | Obligatory contributions to the public funds, levied on persons, property, or income by governmental authority. |
| Technical Forecast | The forecast of produced resources quantities that is defined by applying only technical limitations (i.e., well-flow-loading conditions, well life, production facility life, flow-limit constraints, facility uptime, and the facility's operating design parameters). Technical limitations do not take into account the application of either an economic or license cut-off. (See also Technically Recoverable Resources). |
| Technical Uncertainty | Indication of the varying degrees of uncertainty in estimates of recoverable quantities influenced by the range of potential in-place hydrocarbon resources within the reservoir and the range of the recovery efficiency of the recovery project being applied. |
| Technically Recoverable Resources | Those quantities of petroleum producible using currently available technology and industry practices, regardless of commercial or accessibility considerations. |
| Technology Under Development | Technology that is currently under active development and that has not been demonstrated to be commercially viable. There should be sufficient direct evidence (e.g., a test project/pilot) to indicate that the technology may reasonably be expected to be available for commercial application. |



| Tight Gas | Gas that is trapped in pore space and fractures in very low-permeability rocks and/or by adsorption on kerogen, and possibly on clay particles, and is released when a pressure differential develops. It usually requires extensive hydraulic fracturing to facilitate commercial production. Shale gas is a sub-type of tight gas. |
|---|--|
| Tight Oil | Crude oil that is trapped in pore space in very low-permeability rocks and may be liquid under reservoir conditions or become liquid at surface conditions. Extensive hydraulic fracturing is invariably required to facilitate commercial maturity and economic production. Shale oil is a sub-type of tight oil. |
| Total Petroleum Initially-in-Place | All estimated quantities of petroleum that are estimated to exist originally in naturally occurring accumulations, discovered and undiscovered, before production. |
| Uncertainty | The range of possible outcomes in a series of estimates. For recoverable resources assessments, the range of uncertainty reflects a reasonable range of estimated potentially recoverable quantities for an individual accumulation or a project. (See also Probability.) |
| Unconventional Resources | Unconventional resources exist in petroleum accumulations that are pervasive throughout a large area and lack well-defined OWC or GWC (also called "continuous-type deposits"). Such resources cannot be recovered using traditional recovery projects owing to fluid viscosity (e.g., oil sands) and/or reservoir permeability (e.g., tight gas/oil/CBM) that impede natural mobility.Moreover, the extracted petroleum may require significant processing before sale (e.g., bitumen upgraders). |
| Undeveloped Reserves | Those quantities expected to be recovered through future investments: (1) from new wells on undrilled acreage in known accumulations, (2) from deepening existing wells to a different (but known) reservoir, (3) from infill wells that will increase recovery, or (4) where a relatively large expenditure (e.g., when compared to the cost of drilling and completing a new well) is required to recomplete an existing well. |
| Undiscovered Petroleum Initially-in-Place | That quantity of petroleum estimated, as of a given date, to be contained within accumulations yet to be discovered. |
| Unrecoverable Resources | Those quantities of discovered or undiscovered PIIP that are assessed, as of a given date, to be unrecoverable by the currently defined project(s). A portion of these quantities may become recoverable in the future as commercial circumstances change, technology is developed, or additional data are acquired. The remaining portion may never be recovered owing to physical/chemical constraints represented by subsurface interaction of fluids and reservoir rocks. |
| Upgrader | A general term applied to processing plants that convert extra-heavy crude oil and natural bitumen into lighter crude and less viscous synthetic crude oil. While the detailed process varies, the underlying concept is to remove carbon through coking or to increase hydrogen by hydrogenation processes using catalysts. |
| Wet Gas | Natural gas from which no liquids have been removed before the reference point. The wet gas is accounted for in resources assessments, and there is no separate accounting for contained liquids. It should be recognized that this is a resources assessment definition and not a phase behavior definition. |
| Working Interest | An entity's equity interest in a project before reduction for royalties or production share owed to others under the applicable fiscal terms. |

Appendix 2: Nomenclature

| 3D | three dimensional |
|-------|--|
| bbl | barrel (42 US gallons) |
| Bg | gas formation volume factor, in scf/rcf |
| Во | oil formation volume factor, in rb/stb |
| COS | geological chance of success |
| CPI | computer processed interpretation |
| FVF | formation volume factor |
| HIIP | hydrocarbons initially in place |
| km | kilometres |
| m | metre |
| MMM | thousands and millions respectively |
| MD | measured depth |
| MDRKB | measured depth below Kelly Bushing |
| MSL | mean sea level |
| mss | metres subsea |
| NTG | net to gross ratio |
| P90 | low case (probabilistic) estimate (there should be a 90% probability of exceeding this estimate) |
| P50 | mid or best case (probabilistic) estimate (there should be a 50% probability of exceeding this estimate) |
| P10 | high case (probabilistic) estimate (there should be a 10% probability of exceeding this estimate) |
| Phi | porosity |
| Phie | effective porosity |
| Phit | total porosity |
| PSA | production sharing agreement |
| PSC | production sharing contract |
| PSDM | post stack depth migration |
| PSTM | post stack time migration |
| rb | reservoir barrels |
| rcf | cubic feet at reservoir conditions |
| SNA | sum of negative amplitudes |
| SS | sub-sea |
| stb | stock tank barrel (42 US gallons measured at 14.7 pounds per square inch and 60 degrees Fahrenheit) |



| STOIIP | stock tank oil initially in place |
|--------|-----------------------------------|
| Sw | water saturation |
| Swc | connate water saturation |
| TD | total depth |
| TVD | true vertical depth |
| TVDSS | true vertical depth sub-sea |
| ТWT | two way time |